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TIIVISTELMÄ

Tämä tutkimus on osa Kansanterveyslaitoksen Mielenterveyden ja Alkoholitutkimuksen osaston ja Helsingin ja Uudenmaan sairaanhoitopiirin Peijaksen sairaalan Psykiatridialyysikön vakavan masennustilan etenevää tutkimusta (Vantaa Depression Study), jossa seurataan 269 ajankohtaisesta vakavasta masennustilasta kärsivää psykiatrisen erikoissairaanhoidon avohoito- ja sairaalapotilasta.

806 aikuispotilasta, iältään 20-59 v, seulottiin depressiivisten oireiden osalta ja 542 haastateltiin puolistrukturoidulla haastattelumenetelmällä (SCAN). Tutkimukseen valikoitui 269 potilasta (miehiä 72, naisia 197), jotka täyttivät ajankohtaisen vakavan masennustilan oirekriteerit. Heidät haastateltiin puolistrukturoidun haastattelumenetelmän myötä muiden psykiatristen häiriöiden poissulkemiseksi. Poissulkukriteereinä olivat kaksisuuntainen mielialahäiriö (tyyppi I ja II), skitsofrenia ja muut psykoosit, skitsoaffektiivinen häiriö sekä orgaaninen tai kemiallisien aineen aiheuttama mielialahäiriö. 6 kk ja 18 kk seurantavaiheissa potilaat haastateltiin uudelleen vastaavin menetelmin kuin sisäänottovaiheessa. Itsetuhotäyttäytyminen kartoitettiin sekä tutkimukseen sisäänotto- että tutkimuksen uran vaiheissa psykometrisellä kyselykaavakkeella (Scale for Suicidal Ideation), haastattelukysymyksin ja sairauskertomustietojen perusteella. Niitä potilaita, jotka sisääntulovaiheessa arvioitiin itsetuhoisiksi (potilaat, joilla esiintyi voimakkaita mielen täyttäviä itsemurha-ajatuksia), seurattiin viikottain itsemurha-ajatusten, masennusoireiden, toivottomuuden ja ahdistuneisuuden suhteen.

Tässä tutkimuksessa todettiin itsemurha-ajatusten olevan varsin yleistä masennuspotilaiden joukossa. Lähes 60%:lla masennuspotilaista todettiin itsemurha-ajatuksia ja 15% potilasta oli yrittänyt itsemurhaa sisäänottovaiheessa. Potilaiden, joilla esiintyi itsemurha-ajatukset tai jotka olivat yrittäneet itsemurhaa, yleinen oiretaso oli vakavampaa kuin niillä masennuspotilailla, joilla ei esiintynyt itsemurha-alttiutta.

Seuranta-aikana 8% potilaista yritti itsemurhaa vähintään kerran. Riski itsemurhayritykselle oli selvästi suurempi masennusepäisin aikana verrattuna remissiojaksoon (masennuksen elpymisvaiheeseen). Seurannan aikana tapahtuvaa itsemurhayritystä ennustivat parisuhteen puuttuminen, aikaisemmat itsemurhayritykset ja masennusjakson pituus.

Itsetuhoiset potilaat, eli kaikki ne potilaat, joilla esiintyi vakavia itsemurha-ajatuksia tai ne, jotka olivat yrittäneet itsemurhaa (joko yhden tai useamman kerran), saivat useammin lääkehoitoa ja heillä oli tiiviimpä hoitokontakti psykiatriseen erikoissairaanhoitoon kuin masennuspotilailla, joilla ei esiintynyt itsetuhokäyttäytymistä. Myös heidän asenteensa anti-depressiiviseen lääkkykseen oli suotuisampi ja kiinnittyminen hoitoon yhtä hyvä kuin muilla masennuspotilailla.

Vaikka itsetuhoiset masennuspotilaat tiedetään moniongelmaisiksi, tämä tutkimus ei tue sitä käsitystä, että heidän asenteensa hoitoon tai sen jatkuvuuteen olisi heikompi kuin masennuspotilailla, joilla ei esiinny itsetuhokäyttäytymistä. Ongelmat, jotka liittyvät hoiton jatkuvuuteen näyttäisivät olevan yhteisiä kaikille psykiatrisille potilaille.

Avainsanat: depressio, itsemurha-ajatukset, itsemurhayritys
ABSTRACT

This study is part of an ongoing collaborative research and development project, the Vantaa Depression Study (VDS), between the department of Mental Health and Alcohol Research of the National Public Health Institute, Helsinki and the Department of Psychiatry of Helsinki University Hospital (UCH), Peijas hospital (the Peijas Medical Care District, PMCD), Vantaa. The VDS is a prospective, naturalistic cohort study of 269 secondary-level care psychiatric out- and inpatients with a new episode of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV) major depressive disorder (MDD).

VDS involved 806 adult patients (aged 20-59 years), who were screened for a possible new episode of DSM-IV MDD. 542 consenting patients were interviewed with a semistructured interview [the WHO Schedule for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN), Version 2.0]. 269 patients (Nmales=72, Nfemales=197) with a current DSM-IV MDD were included in the study. Further they were interviewed with semistructured interviews to assess all other psychiatric diagnoses. Exclusion criteria were DSM-IV bipolar disease I and II, schizophrenia and other non-affective psychoses, schizoaffective disorder, organic and substance-induced mood disorders. At 6- and 18-month follow-up the interviews were repeated. Suicidal behaviour was investigated both at intake and follow-up by using a psychometric scale (Scale for Suicidal Ideation) and interviewer’s questions as well as the patient’s psychiatric records. Patients, who reported suicidal ideation while entering the study were followed up weekly, and their level of suicidal ideation, hopelessness, anxiety and depression was measured.

In this study suicidal ideation (i.e. thoughts serving the agent of one’s own death) was common among psychiatric patients with MDD. Almost 60% of the depressed patients reported suicidal ideation and 15% of patients attempted suicide at the baseline. Patients with suicidal ideation or attempts had a clearly higher level of overall psychopathology than non-suicidal patients.
During the 18-month follow-up period 8% of patients attempted suicide. The risk of an attempt was markedly higher (RR=7.54) during an episode of major depression compared with a period of remission. Suicide attempt during the follow-up period was predicted by lack of partner, a history of previous suicide attempts and time spent in depression.

Suicidal ideation resolved for most of the suicidal patients during the first 2 to 3 months. The duration of suicidal ideation was longer for patients with an initially higher level of psychopathology. Declines both in depression and hopelessness independently predicted the subsequent decline in suicidal ideation. They both could have a causal role in reversing the suicidal process. Thus effective treatment of depression is a credible measure in suicide prevention.

Patients with suicidal behaviour often received more antidepressants and had more frequent appointments with mental health professionals than non-suicidal patients. Suicidal patients had also more favourable attitudes towards antidepressant treatment and comparable adherence to treatment than those not suicidal.

Although we know that problems of suicidal patients comprise several different domains, this study does not support the conception that patient attitudes or adherence to treatments would be a factor differentiating suicidal patients from non-suicidal. Instead, problems with adherence or attitudes seem to be generic to all psychiatric care.

Keywords: depression, suicidal ideation, suicide attempt.
# Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Antidepressive medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APA</td>
<td>American Psychiatric Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI</td>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>Borderline Personality Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence Interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBT</td>
<td>Cognitive-Behavioural Therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Dopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALY</td>
<td>Disability adjusted life years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAT</td>
<td>Differential Activation Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV</td>
<td>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DST</td>
<td>Dexamethasone Suppression Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>Electrodermal Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECA</td>
<td>Epidemiological Catchment Area Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT</td>
<td>Electroconvulsive therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINHCS</td>
<td>Finnish Health Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D</td>
<td>Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Hazard Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Beck Hopelessness Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HTP</td>
<td>5-hydroxy-tryptophan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5HTT</td>
<td>Serotonin transporter gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUCH</td>
<td>Helsinki University Central Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVA</td>
<td>Homovanillnic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPT</td>
<td>Interpersonal Psychotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRLFE</td>
<td>Interview for Recent Life Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFE</td>
<td>Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESARC</td>
<td>National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO</td>
<td>Monoamine Oxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Major Depressive Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDE</td>
<td>Major Depressive Episode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Noradrenaline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS</td>
<td>National Comorbidity Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS-R</td>
<td>National Comorbidity Survey – Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEMESIS</td>
<td>Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>Non-Suicidal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD</td>
<td>Obsessive Compulsive Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMCD</td>
<td>Peijas Medical Care District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSSS-R</td>
<td>Perceived Social Support Scale – Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Post Traumatic Stress Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Relative Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Suicide Attempt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>Suicidal Ideation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAN</td>
<td>Schedules for Clinical Assessment of Neuropsychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCID-II</td>
<td>Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R personality disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFAS</td>
<td>Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale for DSM-IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSS</td>
<td>Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>Scale for Suicidal Ideation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH</td>
<td>Tyrosine Hydroxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPH</td>
<td>Tryptophan Hydroxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS</td>
<td>Vantaa Depression Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLD</td>
<td>Years lost due to disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 INTRODUCTION

Approximately one million people worldwide commit suicide annually. Every 40 seconds a person commits suicide somewhere in the world. The number of lives lost each year through suicide exceeds the number of deaths due to homicide and war combined. Suicidal behaviour has become a major public health problem throughout the world. Suicide is one of the leading causes of death in the world, especially in Western countries and among young adults it is the leading cause, this is also the case in Finland. It is estimated that every suicide has serious impact on at least six other people and the psychological, social and financial impact of suicide on the family and community is immeasurable.

Suicidal behaviour – suicidal ideation, suicide attempt and completed suicide – probably represents a continuum of self-harming behaviours. Suicidal behaviour as a concept includes the tendency, thoughts or acts of self-harming behaviour or life-threatening risks. Suicidal behaviour can be direct – suicidal ideation, suicide attempt or completed suicide, or indirect – such as risky driving, high-risk hobbies, hazardous alcohol drinking, drug misuse or neglecting the management of physical illness. Acute suicidal behaviour can be an escape from an unbearable situation or state of mind, while chronic suicidal behaviour can be seen as a part of person’s life story, emerging as a possible solution in times of crises. When looking at the prevalence of different types of suicidal behaviour, prevalence of completed suicide presents only the tip of the iceberg. Non-fatal suicidal behaviour – suicidal ideation and suicide attempts are far more common, especially among young people. The number of suicide attempts may be up to 20 times or more than the number of completed suicides.

Attempted suicide or deliberate self-harm is common, often repeated and denotes a risk of subsequent suicide. It represents considerable psychological distress and it is often linked to long-standing adversity and acute life-events.

Studies on suicide and suicidal behaviour have revealed that suicide is a multifactorial act. Since Durkheim (1897) the importance of social factors, stressors, familial factors etc. have been recognized. The majority of people who commit suicide have a diagnosable mental disorder and suicidal behaviour is more frequent in psychiatric patients. Suffering from any mental disorder has been associated with a significantly elevated risk of premature death. Depression is the most common mental disorder in completed suicide and also one of the most important risk factors for all suicidal behaviour. Other common diagnostic categories among people completing suicide are personality disorders and substance use disorders (Henriksson et al., 1993).
Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a highly prevalent, aetilogically multifactorial and clinically heterogenous disorder. It is also one of the most important mental disorders in terms of public health impact. According to WHO assessed Global Burden of Disease analysis, unipolar depressive disorders are ranked as the fourth leading cause of burden among all diseases and the leading cause of years lost due to disability (YLDs) in the year 2000. While the estimates demonstrate the current high level of burden resulting from depressive disorders, the outlook for the future is worse. If current trends for demographic and epidemiological transition continue, the burden of depression will increase and by the year 2020 depression will become the second leading cause of disability adjusted life years (DALYs) lost. Worldwide it will be second only to ischaemic heart disease and in the developed regions depression will be the highest ranking cause of burden of disease (Murray & Lopez, 1996). Depression can affect individuals at any stage of the life span. It is essentially an episodic recurring disorder. Epidemiological studies show that treatment for depression is often inadequate or depression is unrecognized. Depression appears to be a chronic illness with a high risk of recurrence over one’s lifetime, especially when adequate treatment is not available. Substantial numbers of depressive patients end their lives by committing suicide. Suicide remains one of the most common outcome of depression.

The association between suicidal behaviour and MDD is well known. Studies have usually focused on completed suicide and MDD, but it is essentially important to study non-fatal suicidal behaviour in MDD. This gives us more comprehensive information concerning the prevention of suicides.

The Vantaa Depression Study (VDS) is a prospective, naturalistic, research and development study of 269 secondary-level care psychiatric out- and inpatients with a new episode of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV) MDD. In the VDS the predictors of chronicity, recurrences, suicidal behaviour as well as functional and work disability are investigated and the adequacy of treatment evaluated. The present thesis focuses on suicidal behaviour among depressive patients followed up for 18 months.
2 REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

2.1 Classification of suicidal behaviour

Suicidal behaviour as a concept includes the tendency, thoughts or acts of self-harming behaviour or life threatening risks. Currently suicidal behaviour is characterized with a broad variety of terminology in the literature of suicide research. We have the American Psychiatric Association (APA) definitions of terms in use (American Psychiatric Association, 2003), but there is no generally accepted classification of suicidal behaviours, and this can also be a source of some confusion (for example: attempted suicide – self harm – parasuicide). Suicidal behaviour can vary with respect to manifestation, permanence, seriousness and lethality.

During the past decades there has been debate whether those attempting suicide and those completing, present a single or two separate populations (Linehan, 1986; Beautrais, 2001). It seems that they are overlapping populations. The three types of suicidal behaviour – suicidal ideation, suicide attempt and completed suicide, can be seen as a continuum of self-harming behaviours (Beck et al. 1973).

2.2 The multifactorial aetiology of suicidal behaviour

Several arguments suggest that suicidal behaviour is an independent disorder, although psychiatric disturbances are major contributing factors. More than 90% of suicide victims and most of the attempters, as well ideators have a psychiatric disorder (Robins et al., 1959; Dorpat & Ripley, 1960; Barraclough et al., 1974; Rich et al., 1988; Henriksson et al., 1993; Zimmerman et al., 1995; Beautrais et al., 1996; Mann, 2002; 2005).

However, although the presence of a psychopathology is a strong predictor for suicide, even in the psychiatric groups at the highest risk, only a minority of people with these diagnoses attempt or commit suicide, indicating the importance of a diathesis or predisposition to suicidal behaviour that is independent of the main psychiatric disorders (Mann, 2003; Turecki, 2005).

Traditionally, risk factors for suicidal behaviour have been divided into medical (e.g. mental disorders), psychosocial (e.g. divorce), cultural (e.g. lack of religious commitment) and socio economic (e.g. unemployment). Recently, the risk factors behind suicidal behaviour were also categorized into three main groups: genetic and environmental factors and interaction between these two (Marusic & Farmer, 2001).
2.2.1 Familial and genetic factors in suicidal behaviour

Studies analyzing family, twins and adoption have been concordant in suggesting the implication of genetic factors in suicidal behaviour. Persons who attempt or commit suicide, have a significantly increased rate of suicidal acts in their families (Roy, 1983; Linkowski et al., 1985; Pfeffer et al., 1994). Twin studies as well as adoption studies have also shown a high concordance in the rates for completed suicides and suicide attempts (Schulsinger et al., 1979; Roy et al., 1991; 1995; Statham et al., 1998; Brent & Mann, 2005). For example, the concordance of suicide for identical twins is 11.5% and for fraternal twins 2% (Roy et al., 1991). The heritability of suicidal behaviour, especially suicide, is comparable to the heritability of other major psychiatric disorders, such as bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. It is estimated that 43% of the variability in suicidal behaviour may be explained by genetics, while the remaining 57% may be explained by environmental factors (Roy, 1993a; Roy et al., 1995; McGuffin et al., 2001; Mann, 2002).

2.2.2 Neurobiology of suicidal behaviour

Different neurotransmitter systems have been the most researched area in the field of neurobiology of suicidal behaviour. Post-mortem brain analyses have provided us a lot of valuable data on the serotonergic, noradrenergic and dopaminergic neurotransmitter systems and the cellular morphology of suicide victims. Studies have shown that altered serotonergic function is associated with the diathesis for suicidal behaviour (Mann et al., 1998). Serotonergic abnormalities are related to many psychopathological dimensions such as anxiety, depressed mood, impulsivity and aggression. Post-mortem brain receptor mapping studies suggest that reduced serotonergic input to the orbital prefrontal cortex, hypothalamus, occipital cortex and brainstem may be deficient in persons who are at risk of suicidal behaviour and may underlie a general propensity for aggressive and impulsive behaviours (Arango et al., 1995; Mann et al., 1996; 2000). Serotonergic hypofunction appears to be associated with more lethal suicidal behaviour (Mann et al., 1992; Malone et al., 1996). This abnormality could be localized to the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Arango et al., 1995). Alterations were observed on the receptor level, as postsynaptic 5-HT1A and 5-HT2A receptors were found to be upregulated in prefrontal cortex and this increase was suggested as being a compensatory mechanism to the low activity of the serotonergic neurons (Mann, 2003). 5-HT1A upregulation seems to be localized to the ventral prefrontal cortex, a region that is involved in behavioural and cognitive inhibition, and low serotonergic input may contribute to impaired inhibition, creating a greater propensity to act upon suicidal or aggressive feelings (Arango et al., 1995; Mann, 2003).

These findings are underlined by investigation with fenfluramine. Malone et al. (1996) found that fenfluramine induced an increase in prolactin secretion in healthy people, but in suicide attempters with a higher degree of lethality, the increase was more blunted.
Only few post-mortem studies covered alterations of the noradrenergic or dopaminergic systems. The main findings were decreased noradrenalin (NA) levels in the brainstem and increased alpha2-adrenergic receptor densities, suggested as being upregulated due to the NA deficit (Ordway et al., 1994a). The results with tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) were divergent, as both increased (Ordway et al., 1994b) and decreased immunoreactivity were observed (Biegon & Fieldus, 1992). For dopaminergic system no alterations were found (Sumiyoshi et al., 1995; Hurd et al., 1997). In a recent study, the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of depressed suicide attempters demonstrated reduced homovanillinc acid (HVA) levels, but not in depressed non-attempters, thus suggesting a relation of dopamine (DA) to suicide but not to depression (Sher et al., 2006).

The specific genes that contribute to suicide risk independently of associated psychiatric disorders are unknown. On the basis of the neurobiological findings, genetic studies have been carried out in order to elucidate the genetic contribution to the vulnerability of suicidal behaviour. As there is convincing evidence that a serotonergic dysfunction is involved in the biological susceptibility to suicide, the majority of studies are focusing with genes of the serotonin pathway as possible candidates (Bondy et al., 2006).

As it is believed that the variability of serotonergic neurotransmitters plays a pivotal role in individual differences on mood, impulsiveness and aggression, it is no surprise that molecular genetic studies of suicide and suicidal behaviour focus on serotonergic genes. Genes related to the serotonergic system are candidate genes worthy of study as part of the genetic diathesis for suicidal behaviour. These candidate genes can be classified into three subgroups:


   5HTT regulates re-uptake of serotonin into pre-synaptic neuron and different serotonin receptors that also regulate neurotransmission.


TPH is the rate-limiting enzyme in serotonin (5-HT) biosynthesis, converting the amino-acid tryptophan to 5-hydroxy-tryptophan (5HTP), which is further decarboxylated into 5-HT. TPH gene was among the first candidate genes for association studies of suicidality. Two different TPH isoforms (TPH1 and TPH2) have been identified.

There are several studies focusing on TPH1 polymorphism in the frame of depressed, bipolar, schizophrenic or alcoholic patients. Although the numbers of patients within the diagnostic categories seemed to be sufficient, the number of those with suicidal attempts was small in most studies. Three recent meta-analyses pooled results from individual studies in order to test whether TPH1 polymorphisms affect the vulnerability for suicidal behaviour (Lalovic & Turecki, 2002; Rujescu et al., 2003; Belliver et al., 2004). In their
combined results Lalovic and Turecki (2002) found no association between suicidal behaviour and TPH1 polymorphism. Rujescu et al. (2003) found a weak, but yet highly significant association, which Belliver et al. (2004) could replicate by a further, more refined meta-analysis. However, the positive results found in some studies (Mann et al., 1997; Souery et al., 2001; Abbar et al., 2001; Turecki et al., 2001), could not be replicated in all studies and the list of negative findings is long. The impact of the TPH1 gene on suicidal behaviour remains still ambiguous because of discrepancy of the results together with the small number of patients, the diagnostic heterogeneity with either committed suicide or a history of suicidal attempts, and finally because of the use of different markers. The identification of the brain-specific, second isoform TPH2 gene, promised to be a step forward in investigating the genetic contribution to suicidality, as this isoform apparently plays a more important role in the synthesis of brain serotonin and thus may be a better candidate gene. However, the number of studies using the TPH2 as the candidate gene is small (Zill et al., 2004; Kennedy et al., 2003; De Luca et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2005). The results so far are promising, although the functional consequences of these polymorphisms are unknown and the data on TPH2 gene are somewhat limited.

The serotonin transporter (5-HTT) has two allelic variants: long and short. The short form was hypothesized to be associated with impulsive aggression and suicidal behaviour (Mann et al., 2000). Some studies found an association between the short form and violent suicidal behaviour but, also in contrast to these positive findings, a variety of studies did not observe any association to suicidal behaviour. Despite the many discrepant results there is still an ongoing interest on genetic variants of 5-HTT as the possible indicator of suicidality (Bondy et al., 2006).

Studies focusing on serotonin receptors (5-HT2A, 5-HT1A) or on genes involved in serotonin catabolism (tyrosine hydroxylase, monoamine oxidase A) have been interesting, but the results so far have mostly not been convincing (Bondy et al., 2006).

The association of low concentrations of 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) in the CSF and suicidal behaviour was first reported by Åsberg et al. (1976) and since that replicated in several studies (Mann, 2003; Samuelsson et al., 2006). Also electrodermal activity (EDA) (Wolfersdorf & Straub, 1994) and β-adrenergic receptor binding (Little et al., 1993) have been investigated, but the findings have been not consistent. Non-suppression on the dexamethasone suppression test (DST) in depression has been found to be associated with suicidal behaviour, especially completed suicide. The relationship between attempted suicide and DST has been less consistent (Yerevanian et al., 2004).
2.2.3 Psychological background of suicidal behaviour

Early cognitive accounts of suicidal behaviour were developed from cognitive theories of depression (Beck & Greenberg, 1971; Beck et al., 1975). Suicidal patients were assumed to share the frequent occurrence of depressed patients’ negative thinking, compounded by logical errors, and a tendency for long-term belief structures to be activated by current depression. Freud proposed in the influential 1917 paper, Mourning and Melancholia, that most individuals cope with the loss of a loved person through the experience of mourning. However, he believed that there are other vulnerable individuals for whom the loss experience is unbearable and generates enormous anger. The individual feels ambivalence but preserves the mental image of the loved one by internalization and it becomes part of the ego. Feelings of anger towards the lost objective are not possible to express and so they are transformed into self-sensue and the wish to harm oneself. When these feelings reach a critical pitch, they lead to the urge to destroy the self. Beck with his colleagues (1975; 1990) showed in their research that there is a strong relationship between life stress and suicidal behaviour. When depressed patients believe that there is no solution to their problems, they consider suicide as a way out of an intolerable and hopeless situation. Hopelessness as it occurs in depressed patients may be viewed as characteristics related to both state and trait. During depression, hopelessness escalates and then subsides over the course of illness. Cognitive research on suicide and risk prediction has developed a model of suicidal behaviour in which hopelessness is a key psychological variable. Hopelessness has been found to play a major role in suicidal behaviour, and in many cases hopelessness has proven to be a better predictor of suicidal intent than depression, and is believed to mediate the relationship between depression and suicidal behaviour. Further research emphasized the widespread impairment of interpersonal problem solving in suicidal patients. Among the variables studied in suicidal patients, depression, hopelessness and problem solving have become a recurrent theme.

Suicidal ideation arises as a symptom of depression, especially if there are reasons for a person to feel hopelessness with regard to the future. Still, the majority of individuals who experience suicidal ideation do not attempt suicide (Kessler et al., 1999). It is important to explain how or why suicidal ideation arises and why it is maintained and exacerbated to the point of a possible suicide attempt. Ringel introduced the concept of the Presuicidal Syndrome which has three principal components: constriction, inhibited aggression turned toward the self and suicidal fantasies. The Presuicidal Syndrome relates to specific psychic state of mind that can lead to suicidal acts. It is proposed that the Presuicidal Syndrome provides a basis for better judgement of the danger of suicide and makes more focused suicide prevention possible (Ringel, 1976). Williams et al. (2001) suggested recently that suicidal ideation and behaviour arise from feelings of entrapment, that there is no escape, and that this represents a particular pattern of information processing concerning one’s self and the world. Suicidal ideation can last only a short while if one can think of other, alternative ways to solve problems. Impairment in problem solving reduces this capacity. Suicidal feelings may alleviate if the person feels that he
or she has something to look forward in the future, some important reasons for living. Hopelessness with regard to the future takes away these possibilities. The combination of a poor problem-solving capacity and hopelessness has become the main object of research interested in psychological process.

2.2.3.1 Stress – diathesis model of suicidal behaviour

Although suicidal behaviour is episodic, occurring most often when a person is in an episode of depression and not when they are in remission, not all people who suffer from recurrent depression become suicidal, and some suicidal behaviour occurs in individuals who are not clinically depressed. Thus, a psychiatric disorder is generally a necessary, but insufficient condition for suicide. Mann et al. (1999) proposed a stress-diathesis model in which the risk for suicidal acts is not determined merely by a psychiatric illness (the stressor) but also by a diathesis (Figure 1). They wanted to develop a model to help determine who remains vulnerable, despite seeming to have recovered, and how this underlying vulnerability relates to the acute suicidal state. The diathesis may be reflected in the tendencies to experience more suicidal ideation and to be more impulsive and thus being more likely to act on suicidal feelings. In their study Mann et al. (1999) found that a trait factor, such as aggression/impulsivity, was significant in distinguishing past suicide attempters from non-attempters. This categorized individuals at risk from suicide attempts regardless of psychiatric diagnosis. Their model showed that subjective depression, hopelessness and suicidal ideation were greater in suicide attempters than in non-attempters despite comparable rates of objective severity for depression or psychosis. One stressor is almost invariably the onset or acute worsening of a psychiatric disorder, but other types of stressors, such as a psychosocial crisis, can also contribute. The diathesis for suicidal behaviour includes a combination of factors such as sex, religion, familial and genetic components, childhood experiences, psychological support system, availability of highly lethal suicide methods and various other factors (Mann, 2002).
Figure 1. A model for suicidal behaviour
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2.2.3.2 Differential activation theory

Teasdale et al. (1988) proposed a differential activation theory (DAT), which suggests that during episodes of depression, associations are formed between sad mood and a constellation of negative processing bias. With each occurring episode of depression, the network of depressive cognitions is strengthened, elaborated and becomes increasingly accessible. Recently Joiner et al. (2003) and Lau et al. (2004) suggested that this theory could be extended to the explanation of recurrence of suicidal behaviour. Painful and fear-inducing qualities of suicidal behaviour can diminish with repetition, while opposing processes may intensify. Williams et al. (2005a; 2005b; 2006) refined this theory further in their reports. DAT suggests that the risk of future suicidality is dependent on the extent to which suicidal thoughts and plans have become a part of the processing pattern that is reactivated when low mood reoccurs.

2.3 Suicidal ideation

2.3.1 Definition of suicidal ideation

Suicidal ideation is defined as thoughts serving the agent of one’s own death. It may vary in seriousness depending on the specificity of suicide plans and the degree of suicidal intent (American Psychiatric Association, 2003). Suicidal ideation can be manifested from transient thoughts with respect to the worthlessness of life and death wish, to permanent, concrete plans for killing oneself and obsessive preoccupation with self-destruction. Suicidal ideation may be an aspect of depressed mood and also from the other point of view, a coping strategy with such a mood. It is also correlated closely with hopelessness. Suicidal ideation can be of a habitual or chronic as well as of an acute nature (Goldney et al., 1989; Diekstra & Garnefski, 1995).

2.3.2 Epidemiology of suicidal ideation

Suicide ideation, which comprises suicidal thoughts or threats devoid of action, is more common than suicide attempts and completed suicides and its prevalence varies widely. Lifetime prevalence of suicidal ideation has been reported to range from 2% to 18% (Kessler et al., 1999; Weissman et al., 1999). In epidemiological studies the prevalence of suicidal ideation has been reported since the 1970s. Depending on the setting of each particular study, the 12-month prevalence has varied from 2.3% to 8.7% (Schwab et al., 1972; Paykel et al., 1974; Vandivort & Locke, 1979; Crosby et al., 1999, Goldney et al., 2000). In the well-known large epidemiological studies National Comorbidity Survey (NCS) and National Comorbidity Survey-Replication (NCS-R), the 12-month prevalence of suicidal ideation was found to be 2.8% and 3.3%, respectively (Kessler et al., 1999; 2005). Kessler
et al. (2005) estimated that there are approximately 3000/100 000 suicide ideators in the United States each year with 14/100 000 suicide completers. In a Greek study, Madianos et al. (1993) reported the prevalence of suicidal ideation by gender for two waves, 1978 and in 1984. In the first wave, 2.8% of males and 6.8% of females reported suicidal ideation during the last 12 months and in the second, 5.9% and 14.9%, respectively.

Suicidal ideation can vary significantly in different age groups. Suicidal ideation among young adults has been suggested to be around 10-12% (Goldney et al., 1989) while it is 4% among the elderly (Skoog et al., 1996).

In the Finnish study Hintikka et al. (2001) investigated with questionnaires (BDI) in a nationwide sample the incidence of suicidal ideation both at the baseline and at 12-month follow-up. The incidence of overall suicidal ideation was reported as 3.8%, and 3.1% for females and 4.6% for males, respectively. Usually suicidal ideation is equally common among males and females, or slightly more common among females, but in Finland this does not seem to be the case.

Table 1. Risk factors for suicidal behaviour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Suicidal Ideation</th>
<th>Suicide Attempt</th>
<th>Completed Suicide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>female/male</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>younger</td>
<td>younger</td>
<td>advancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>non-married / single</td>
<td>divorced</td>
<td>single / divorced / widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economical problems / unemployment</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Depressive Disorder</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Disorder</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic physical illness</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative life events</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood abuse and other experiences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental psychopathology</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal behaviour in the past</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicidal ideation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicide attempts</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of suicide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.3 Risk factors for suicidal ideation

Suicide research has mainly focused on suicide attempts and completed suicides and relatively few studies have focused on suicide ideation. Prior research suggests a variety of risk factors for suicide ideation. Thoughts of suicide appear to be unrelated to gender (Murray, 1973; Friedman et al., 1987; Sorenson & Rutter, 1991), with exceptions (Paykel et al., 1974; Pages et al., 1997; Kessler et al., 2005) or educational attainment (Kinkel et al., 1988; Sorenson & Rutter, 1991), (exception Kessler et al., 2005), but may be more prevalent among non-married / single and younger individuals (Sorenson & Rutter, 1991; Zimmerman et al., 1995; Kessler et al., 2005). Also low self-esteem (de Man et al., 1992), limited problem-solving ability (Priester & Clum, 1993; Dixon et al., 1994), hopelessness (Beck et al., 1979; Rudd, 1990; Rudd et al., 1993; Hintikka et al., 1998; Vilhjalmssson et al., 1998), dissatisfaction, pessimism, anxiety / anxiety disorders (Rudd et al., 1993; Vilhjalmssson et al., 1998; Sareen et al., 2005), mental illness, especially major depressive disorder (Paykel et al., 1974; Beck et al., 1979; Smith & Crawford, 1986; Kinkel et al., 1988; Rudd, 1990; Kandel et al., 1991; Sorenson & Rutter, 1991; Breslau, 1992; Rudd et al., 1993; Hintikka et al., 1998; Vilhjalmssson et al., 1998), as well as chronic pain or chronic conditions (Paykel et al., 1974; Breslau, 1992; Ingersoll et al., 1993; Vilhjalmssson et al., 1998), substance use disorder (Kinkel et al., 1988; Kandel et al., 1991; Murphy et al., 1992; Bartels et al., 1992; Burge et al., 1995; Pages et al., 1997; Vilhjalmssson et al., 1998), stress in general (Paykel et al., 1974; Kandel et al., 1991, de Man et al., 1992), negative life events (Paykel et al., 1974), family difficulties (Kandel et al., 1991), economical problems (Kandel et al., 1991; Vilhjalmssson et al., 1998; Kessler et al., 2005) and low social support (Paykel et al., 1974; Kinkel et al., 1988; Kandel et al., 1991; de Man et al., 1992) have been found to be associated with suicidal ideation. The most consistent factors identified as risk factors of suicidal ideation have been depression and hopelessness. These findings seem to be unrelated to geographic location or age of the population studied. (Table 1).

2.4 Suicide attempt

2.4.1 Definition of suicide attempt

Suicide attempt is defined as self-injurious behaviour with a non-fatal outcome accompanied by evidence (either explicit or implicit) that the person intended to die (American Psychiatric Association, 2003). According to Skegg (2005) attempted suicide as a term is used for episodes where there was at least some suicidal intent, or sometimes without reference to intent. On the other hand, deliberate self-harm is also used along suicide attempt. Deliberate self-harm is defined as willful self-inflicting of painful, destructive, or injurious acts, but without intent to die. Deliberate self-harm is used especially in the UK (American Psychiatric Association, 2003; Skegg, 2005).
2.4.2 Epidemiology of attempted suicide

Official statistics on attempted suicide are not usually collected annually, as is the case for completed suicides, but several epidemiologic surveys have reported population-based estimates of lifetime prevalence of a suicide attempt (Paykel et al., 1974; Moscicki et al., 1988; Kessler et al., 1999; Weissman et al., 1999; Norlev et al., 2005). The estimates have ranged from 0.7% to 5.9%. The 12-month prevalences have ranged from 0.19% to 0.6% (Petronis et al., 1990; Kessler et al., 1999; 2005). In NCS and NCS-R Kessler et al. (1999; 2005) reported 12-month prevalences of suicide attempts as 0.4% and 0.6%, respectively. Accordingly, this means that there are approximately 500 suicide attempters per 100 000 population in the US each year.

As a part of the WHO/EURO Multicentre Study on Parasuicide, the rates of attempted suicide among persons aged 15 and over for the period 1989-1992 were reported. In Helsinki, Finland, the rate of attempted suicide for males was 314/100 000 and for females 246/100 000. With only one exception (Helsinki), the person-based suicide attempt rates were higher among females than males, approximately 2:1 (Schmidtke et al., 1996).

Suicide attempts are more common among the young people than the elderly, whereas completed suicide is more common among the elderly. Between 2% to 12% (median 6%) of young people report a lifetime history of suicide attempt (Beutrais, 2002).

A suicide attempt is one of the strongest predictors of the subsequent suicide. The risk of suicide after an attempt is up to 40 times the expected rate (Harris & Barraclough, 1997; Suominen et al., 2004a). In recent Finnish studies 5 to 8% of suicide attempters committed suicide during the follow-up period and the risk of suicide was highest during the first year following the index attempt (Ostamo & Lönnqvist, 2001; Suokas et al., 2001; Suominen et al., 2004a; 2004b). Geographically suicide attempt rates in Finland among both sexes are higher in urban than in rural areas. Male rates are higher than female rates in almost every area under study, from south to north, east to west (Ostamo et al., 1991).

2.4.3 Risk factors for attempted suicide

Risk factors for attempted suicide have been the focus of numerous studies during the past decades. Psychiatric disorders, especially MDD, have been found in several studies to be a major risk factor (Suominen et al., 1996; Mann, 1999; Beutrais, 2001). Suominen et al. reported that at least one Axis I diagnosis was made in 98% of the suicide attempters, while over 70% of the cases suffered from depressive disorders and about 50% from alcohol dependence or abuse (Suominen et al., 1996). The association between female gender and attempted suicide is also well known (Schmitdke et al., 1996; Beutrais, 2002). The other risk factors for attempted suicide listed extensively by Mann (1999), Beutrais (2001; 2002) and Skegg (2005) in their reports include demographic factors such as younger age, divorce, unemployment; such socioeconomic disadvantages, as low income, low educational
status or poverty, social and familial factors such as childhood abuse or other adverse childhood experiences: parent’s separation or divorce, parental psychopathology, social isolation; comorbid psychiatric illnesses, such as personality disorders, substance use disorders, or anxiety disorders; hopelessness, fewer reasons for living, subjective suicidal ideation, higher lifetime rate for aggression and impulsivity, family/personal history of suicidal acts, recent stressful life events and prior outpatient psychiatric treatment. Some factors may also be of protective nature, such as religion, cultural norms or social support. Often risk factors and protective factors are interlinked (Skegg, 2005). The most consistent risk factor is the presence of a psychiatric disorder, most commonly depression, followed by substance abuse and anxiety disorders. (Table 1).

2.5 Suicide

2.5.1 Definition of suicide

Suicide is defined as self-inflicted death with evidence (either explicit or implicit) that the person intended to die (American Psychiatric Association, 2003).

2.5.2 Epidemiology of suicide

Suicide has become a major public health issue around the world. It is among the leading causes of death, and suicide accounts for more deaths than the number due to HIV infection and AIDS combined, or due to homicide and war combined. In Finland the suicide rate is among the highest in Europe (19.7/100 000 in 2004), although it is well worth noticing that there has been a 30% decline during the past 15 years (Figure 2). In USA, for example, the suicide rate is 13.9/100 000 (2002) and in Sweden 13.4 /100 000 (2001). The highest annual suicide rates are in Eastern Europe, especially in the Baltic countries and former Soviet republics (> 27/100 000) and the lowest in Latin American and Islamic countries (< 6.5/100 000). Men have a higher rate of completed suicide than women, usually the male to female ratio is approximately 3-4:1 (World Health Organization, 2005). Because suicide is more open to cultural, ethnic and religious influences, the rates vary significantly among the various age groups, gender and different countries.

In psychological autopsy studies, most have found that over 90% of the suicide completers had a psychiatric disorder at the time of death, and approximately 60% of all suicides occur in persons with mood disorder (Mann, 2002). Propensity for lifetime mortality for suicide in discharged hospital population remains high, although in recent years there has been a downrating of the risk (Blair-West et al., 1999). Up to 50% of the people who commit suicide are intoxicated at the time of death (Moscicki, 2001) and 11% of completed suicides had a first-degree relative who had committed suicide (Maris, 2002).
2.5.3 Risk factors for completed suicide

Psychological autopsy is probably the most direct technique currently available for determining the relationship between particular risk factors and suicide (Isometsä, 2001; Cavanagh et al., 2003). Suicide has a strong association with psychiatric disorders. More than 90% of the suicide victims have a diagnosable psychiatric illness, usually MDD, alcohol dependence/abuse or personality disorder (Barraclough et al., 1974; Henriksson et al., 1993, Cheng, 1995; Cavanagh et al., 2003, Arsenault-Lapierre et al., 2004). The majority of suicide victims suffer from co-morbid mental disorders (Henriksson et al., 1993). Hopelessness, suicidal ideation and previous suicide attempts are strong and independent risk factors (Appleby et al., 1999; Brown et al., 2000; Beck, 2001). Those who have attempted suicide carry a risk of eventual suicide that is about 100 times greater than that of the general population during the year following the attempt (Hawton, 1987). The role of previous suicide attempts can be also seen as an indicator of future suicide risk. In their paper Joiner et al. (2003) discussed the fact that as suicidal ideation is related to subsequent completed suicide, a lifetime history of suicide attempts can lower the threshold of new attempts and thus, suicide related structures may become more easily triggered. Suicide attempt may be considered to be a better risk indicator for completed suicide than a risk factor expressing causality between an attempt and suicide. Male gender, advancing age, poor physical health, high intention of previous suicide attempts, being widowed /divorced/living alone, recent adverse life events, severe anxiety, chronic medical illness and family history of suicide are also known risk factors (Cheng, 1995; Vijayakumar & Rajkumar, 1999; Suokas et al., 2001; Mann, 2002; Gaynes et al., 2004; Suominen et al., 2004a; 2004b). (Table 1).
2.6 Prevention of suicidal behaviour

Primary prevention of suicide is the ideal method of protection. It requires broad modifications in social, economic and biological conditions to prevent certain members of a population from becoming suicidal. Primary prevention is directed at social interventions early in suicidal pathways. This approach forces interventions at the level of the environment and the means of self-destruction, rather than focusing on the individual at risk (Maris, 2002).

The prevention of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts serves ultimately as prevention of new suicides.

Primary preventive measures or protective factors could include reduction of divorce rates and violence (especially in families), restricting access to lethal methods (firearms, pesticides, toxic gas, barbiturates etc.), promoting physical health, proper exercise, diet, sleep etc. (Maris, 2002; Mann et al., 2005). Public education campaigns are popular and they increase knowledge and improve attitudes toward mental illness and suicide, but measures for suicide prevention have been insufficient (Mann et al., 2005).

As secondary prevention options (e.g. when members of population become suicidal), probably the best protective measures are early detection of suicidal individuals, accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of psychiatric disorders (especially MDD). Suicide prevention at this stage is possible because of completed suicides at least 83% had had contact with a primary care physician within a year of their death, and up to 66% within a month (Andersen et al., 2000; Luoma et al., 2002). Therefore, improving a physician’s recognition of depression and suicide risk evaluation is a significant component of suicide prevention. Several studies examining suicidal behaviour in response to primary care education programs, mostly targeting on depression recognition and treatment, have all reported positive results (Mann et al., 2005). From pharmacological treatment forms for example lithium is effective in the prevention of suicide, deliberate self-harm, and death from all causes in patients with mood disorders (Cipriani et al., 2006). Thus, physician education in depression recognition and treatment is probably the most plausible method of secondary suicide prevention.

Recently many studies have used the method of population attributable risk (PAR) statistics, which measures the proportion of the condition that can be associated with exposure to a risk factor, or the proportion of the condition that would be eliminated if the risk factor were not present. Beautrais reported that the elimination of mood disorders would result in an 80% reduction in the risk of serious suicide attempt and 37% to 46% reduction in suicide rates (Beautrais et al., 1996; 1999). Similarly Pirkis et al. (2000) and Goldney et al. (2000; 2003) reported 39% to 57% reduction for suicidal ideation and 40% for attempted suicide.
Given the rarity of completed suicides, health care services have to acknowledge that many patients may need to be targeted in order to prevent few suicides. However, the components of suicide prevention, such as increased clinical supervision, encouragement of compliance/adherence, and improved patient management, will result in improved medical care for severely ill patients (Appleby et al., 2005).

Persons with a history of admission to a psychiatric hospital were at high risk of suicide, and the suicide risk peaks during periods immediately after admission or discharge. Suicide risk is significantly higher in patients who received less than the median duration of hospital treatment (Qin & Nordentoft, 2005). The risk of suicide among patients incapacitated for one year or less after first admission increases significantly in the first year after discharge, according to Danish studies (Mortensen et al., 2000; Höyer et al., 2000).

**Figure 3. Targets of Suicide Prevention Interventions**
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2.7 Major depressive disorder (MDD)

Depression is a common mental disorder characterized by sadness, loss of interest in activities and diminished energy. Depression is differentiated from normal mood changes by the extent of its severity, the symptoms and the duration of the disorder.

Major depressive disorder, in particular, is highly prevalent, aetilogically multifactorial, clinically heterogeneous, frequently follows a recurrent or chronic course, and significantly impairs the quality of life. MDD is among the leading cause of burden among all diseases and the leading cause of years lost due to disability (YLDs) in 2000 (Murray & Lopez, 1996).

2.7.1 Diagnosis of MDD


In DSM-IV, unipolar forms of primary mood disorders are classified into three groups: MDD, dysthymic disorder, and depression not otherwise specified. MDD is characterized by one or more major depressive episodes (MDEs) lasting at least two weeks. Earlier DSM-III-R used term ‘major depression’ (MD) for MDD. However, diagnostic criteria remained the same. At least five symptoms are present during the same 2-week period and represent a change from one’s previous functioning; in order to fulfill the criteria for MDD at least one of the symptoms is either 1) persistent depressed mood or 2) loss of interest or pleasure, which is accompanied by at least four of the following symptoms (total of five symptoms): significant weight change, insomnia or hypersomnia, psychomotor agitation or retardation, fatigue or loss of energy, feeling of worthlessness or excessive or inappropriate guilt, diminished ability to think or concentrate or indecisiveness, recurrent thoughts of death, suicidal ideation, or suicide attempt, (American Psychiatric Association 1987; 1994, 2000). Based on the number of criteria symptoms, the severity of symptoms, and the degree of disability, an episode of MDD may be classified as mild, moderate, or severe. The symptoms should not be the result of a direct physiological effect of a substance or a general medical condition or bereavement.

With ICD-10, the diagnosis of MDD is basically similar to the DSM-IV. Still, ICD-10 requires one symptom less than DSM-IV for diagnosis, fatigue or loss of energy is included in core symptoms with persistent depressed mood and loss of interest or pleasure, and feelings of worthlessness or inappropriate guilt is split into two symptoms. Research programmes usually apply the DSM classification. ICD-10 is in clinical use in Finland.

In this thesis, unless otherwise specified, depression refers to unipolar DSM-IV MDD.
### 2.7.2 Epidemiology of MDD

The prevalence of depressive disorders in the general population has been estimated in numerous epidemiological studies and surveys around the world (WHO World Mental Health Survey Consortium 2004). Various epidemiological studies show that depression, compared with other medical diagnoses, is highly prevalent in the general population (Jenkins et al., 1997; Kessler et al., 1994; 2003; Jacobi et al., 2004). It is estimated that during their lifetime, approximately one fifth of the population will experience an episode of MDD (Kessler et al., 1994). It occurs twice as frequently in women than in men, can begin at any age, but on average the age of onset is in the mid-20’s (Kessler et al., 2003).

In Epidemiological Catchment Area (ECA) study the prevalence estimates of MDD were 3.0% for a current and 5.2% for lifetime disorder. In NCS and later NCS-R, Kessler et al. (1994; 2003) reported a lifetime prevalence of MDD of 14.9% and 16.2% and a 12-month prevalence of 8.6% and 6.6%, respectively, in the population of US. Recently, in another large study, the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC), Hasin et al. (2005) reported the prevalence of lifetime DSM-IV MDD of 13.2% and 12-month prevalence of 5.3%.

In the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS) Bijl et al. (1998) reported the lifetime prevalence of DSM-III-R major depression to be 15.4% and 12-month prevalence of 5.8% in a Dutch population, and recently, in a large European multi-centred study (ESEMed) of Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands and Spain the prevalences were 12.8% and 3.9%, respectively (Alonso et al., 2004). Wittchen and Jacobi (2005) analysed in their study the results of 17 European studies and found out that estimates for 12-month prevalence of MDD range from 3.1% to 10.1%, with the median being 6.9%.

In a recent Finnish study Pirkola et al. (2005) reported a 12-month prevalence of MDD of 4.9% based on the Health 2000 study, while in a Finnish Health Care Survey (FINHCS) Lindeman et al. (2000) found the 12-month prevalence of MDE to be 9.3%. The difference in the prevalence may be explained by the diagnostic interview (M-CIDI) used with its stringent exclusion criteria in recent Health 2000 study (Pirkola et al., 2005).

In the Mini Finland Health Survey, Lehtinen & Joukamaa (1994) reported that only one third of the persons suffering from clinically significant depression were actually being treated for their disorder. However, only about 50% of those with major depressive episode or dysthymia perceive need for mental health services (Isometsä et al., 1997). In FINHCS Häimäläinen et al. (2004) reported that only 59% of those suffering from the severest major depression episodes use health services for depression.
2.7.3 Aetiology of MDD

MDD is a prototypical multifactorial disorder, aetilogically complex disorder (Kendler et al., 2002; 2006). An individual’s risk of suffering an episode of MDD is influenced by factors from multiple domains, including genetic influences (Tsuang & Faraone, 1990; McGuffin et al., 1996; Sullivan et al., 2000; Caspi et al., 2003; Korszun et al. 2004; Lesch, 2004), poor parenting/maternal stress during pregnancy/parental depression (Parker, 1979; Holmes & Robins, 1988; Oates, 2002; O’Connor et al., 2002; Lyons-Ruth et al., 2002; Lieb et al., 2002), childhood sexual or physical abuse (Ferguson & Mullen, 1999; Heim et al., 2000; Gladstone et al., 2004), premature parental loss (Tennant, 1988), predisposing personality traits (Angst & Clayton, 1986; Hirschfeld et al., 1989; Boyce et al., 1991; Caspi et al., 1996; Kendler et al., 2004), early onset of an anxiety disorder (Breslau et al., 1995; Kessler et al., 1996; Young et al., 2004), low social support (Hendersson, 1992; Cooper & Paykel, 1994), substance misuse (Kessler et al., 1996), marital difficulties (Whisman et al., 2000), a prior history of MDD (Lewinsohn et al., 1988; Harrington et al., 1990), and recent stressful life events (Paykel et al., 1969; Brown & Harris, 1978; Kessler, 1997; Kendler et al., 2004). Some of these aetiological factors have also been shown to interact. Caspi et al. (2003) reported gene-by-environment interaction, in which an individual’s genetic makeup moderates his/her response to environmental factors. Individuals with one or two short allele of the 5-HTT promoter polymorphism exhibited more depressive symptoms, diagnosable depression and suicidality in relation to stressful life events than individuals homozygous for the long allele. Kendler et al. (2004) reported the interaction between life events and neuroticism, where neuroticism has a greater impact on MDD risk at high levels of adverse life events.

2.7.4 Heritability of MDD

Several studies have focused on the heritability of depression, and the estimates reported range from 20% up to 70% (usually 20-45%) (Sullivan et al., 2000; Wallace et al., 2002; Lesch, 2004). Sullivan et al. (2000) reported strong evidence for an association between MDD in the proband and MDD in the first-degree relatives (OR 2.84). Major depression is a familial disorder and its familiality mostly or entirely results from genetic influences. Recently, Kendler et al. (2006a) found heritability of MDD higher in women (42%) than in men (29%). However, heritability is always related to a specific population.

2.7.5 Developmental factors of MDD

MDD is a disorder with many aetologic variables that are interrelated through developmental pathways. Kendler et al. (2002; 2006b) have constructed a developmental model for MDD in women and in men. They created a model to predict depressive episodes in the year before the most recent interview. Eighteen risk factors conceptualized as five developmental tiers reflecting childhood (genetic risk, disturbed family environment, childhood sexual abuse, childhood parental loss), early adolescence (neuroticism,
self-esteem, early onset of anxiety and conduct disorder), late adolescence (educational attainment, lifetime traumas, social support, substance misuse), adulthood (history of divorce, past history of depression), and the preceding year (marital problems, difficulties, stressful life events). The overall results suggest that the development of MDD for both men and women results from the interaction of internalizing/externalizing symptoms and adversity. Childhood loss and low self-esteem were more potent variables in the model for men than in women. Also genetic risk factors seemed to have a broader spectrum of impact in men than in women, but from an aetilogic perspective, major depression is largely the same disorder in men and women.

### 2.7.6 Course and outcome of MDD

The data reported on the duration of an episode of MDD has varied in different studies, depending on the specific study, the population concerned and methodology used. Eaton et al. (1997) reported a duration of MDE of twelve weeks from the ECA, Spijker et al. (2002) reported median duration of three months from the NEMESIS study, and Kessler et al. (2003) sixteen weeks from NCS-R. Recently Hasin et al. reported a median duration for MDE to be 24 weeks in the general adult US population (Hasin et al., 2005). Hämäläinen et al. (2004) studied other median durations of the episodes and reported 4 weeks for mild episode, 5 weeks for a moderate one and 9 weeks for severe major depressive episode. The duration of an MDE was also associated with the severity of depression. Longer duration and greater severity of MDD are related to the referral to health services and psychiatric care. The severity of the depression and longer duration of MDE before treatment predict a longer episode of MDE (Melartin et al., 2004).

MDD is a chronic disorder and has a high risk of recurrence. Significant numbers of people (up to 80%) who have experienced MDD will experience at least one more episode of MDD later during their lifetime, and 20% will have a chronic course of MDE lasting more than 2 years (Angst, 1986; Keller et al., 1992; Brodaty et al., 2001).

### 2.7.7 Comorbidity of MDD

Comorbidity is defined as the occurrence of two or more disorders in a person in a defined period of time (Klerman, 1990). Comorbidity in depression has been the topic of numerous studies over the years. Comorbid MDD is so common that it is almost exceptional not to have a comorbid disorder in connection with MDD (Kessler et al., 1996). MDD patients have generally at least one comorbid Axis I disorder (Placidi et al., 2000, Melartin et al., 2002; 2004; Merikangas et al., 2003; Alonso et al., 2004; Hasin et al., 2005; Vuorilehto et al., 2005). In NCS-R, over 70% of lifetime and almost 80% of 12-month MDD patients, had at least one comorbid disorder, including 59% with anxiety disorder, 24% with substance use disorder and 30% with impulse control disorder (Kessler et al., 2003).

Only a few studies have assessed Axis II comorbidity in MDD in the same population. In a European multicentred study Casey et al. (2004) found the overall prevalence of
personality disorders to be 22% in a community sample with depressive disorders, while Hasin et al. (2005) reported in their recent study a prevalence of 38% for any personality disorder. The most common disorders were obsessive-compulsive, paranoid, schizoid and avoidant personality disorder.

2.7.8 Treatment of MDD

During the last decade several sets of evidence-based treatment guidelines have been published in order to improve the detection and treatment of MDD. These guidelines cover the principles and goals of psychiatric management with psychotherapeutic approaches, pharmacotherapy (antidepressant medications), combination of psychotherapy and medication, ECT, bright light therapy, among others (Schulberg et al., 1998; Crismon et al., 1999; Anderson et al., 2000; APA, 2000; Bauer et al. 2002; Suomen Psykiatriyhdistys, 2004; National Institute of Clinical Excellence, 2004). Other available forms of treatment for MDD include antipsychotic drugs and mood stabilizers, especially in combination with antidepressants (Mann, 2005, Wijkstra et al., 2005), exercise (Ernst et al., 2006), sleep deprivation (Tsuno et al., 2005), ethyl-eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (Parker et al., 2006) and hopefully in the future transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), deep brain stimulation (DBS) and vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) (Eltan & Lerer, 2006). The most used treatments in Finland are antidepressant treatment, psychotherapy and ECT.

2.7.8.1 Antidepressant treatment

The pharmacotherapy of depression can be divided into three phases: acute, continuation and maintenance treatment. In the acute phase, the aim of the treatment is full remission, in the continuation phase, the prevention of relapse, and in the maintenance phase, it is the prevention of recurrence (Suomen Psykiatriyhdistys, 2004).

Depression can be effectively treated with antidepressants. Antidepressant pharmacotherapy is more important the more severe the depression is, and always indicated if depression is severe or psychotic. In mild or moderate cases of depression, effective psychotherapy, alone or combined with pharmacotherapy, are possible alternative treatments (Suomen Psykiatriyhdistys, 2004). As the effectiveness of available antidepressants is comparable, the selection of medication will be based on the side-effect profile, the safety and tolerability, interaction, and the patient’s preference. Antidepressant doses must comply with treatment guidelines (American Psychiatric Association, 2000; Suomen Psykiatriyhdistys, 2004). The effect size of antidepressive medication in depression ranges from 0.51 to 1.09 (Khan et al., 2004, DeRubeis et al., 2005).

Antidepressant treatment should be maintained in the continuation phase for approximately four to nine months after remission, in order to prevent relapse. Long-term maintenance treatment should be considered to prevent recurrences (American Psychiatric Association, 2000; Suomen Psykiatriyhdistys, 2004). There are several factors that need to be considered in the decision whether or not to use maintenance treatment. These factors
include the risk of recurrence (number of prior episodes, presence of comorbid conditions, residual symptoms between episodes), severity of the episodes (presence of suicidality, psychotic features or severe functional impairments), possible side-effects experienced and patient preferences (APA, 2000).

2.7.8.2 Psychotherapeutic treatment

In the treatment of depression, the aim of psychotherapeutic treatments is to alleviate depression by influencing the psychological and behavioural factors that induce and maintain depression. Psychotherapy that can be applied in the treatment of depression include cognitive or cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT), interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT), brief psychodynamic psychotherapy, and cognitive problem-solving therapy. In acute phase of mild or moderate depression, psychotherapy can be used either alone, or combined with pharmacotherapy. In the continuation and maintenance phases, treatment of residual symptoms by psychotherapeutic means can be useful in order to prevent recurrence (Suomen Psykiatriyhdistys, 2004). The effect size of CBT has been reported to be from 0.44 to 0.68 (DeRubeis et al., 2005, Haby et al., 2006)

2.7.8.3 Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT)

ECT has been used as a treatment for mental disorders since the 1930s. ECT is one of the most controversial treatments in public opinion and media. There is evidence that it is an effective treatment for psychotic and severe depression, and should be used if pharmacotherapy has not been effective, or rapid response is otherwise necessary (e.g. due to acute severe suicidality). ECT is an effective short-term treatment for patients with major depressive disorder, bilateral ECT moderately more effective than unilateral and high dose ECT is more effective than low dose (The UK ECT Review Group, 2003). Earlier research indicates that ECT is at least as effective (or probably more) as antidepressant medication for the treatment of major depression (SES -0.80, 95% CI -1.29 to -0.29) (Weiner, 1994; UK ECT Review Group, 2003); nonetheless, ECT is used only rarely as a first-line treatment (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

2.7.9 Adherence and attitudes to treatment

There is a variety of terminology in literature relating to the degree to which a patient follows treatment regimen. It is suggested that the term adherence is preferable, as it puts greater emphasis on the role of the clinician in forming a therapeutic alliance, and on the active rather than the passive participation of the patient in this process (Lingam & Scott, 2002). The term adherence is defined as "patient acceptance of recommended health behaviours" (Wright, 1993). Adherence is a major problem in the treatment of depression (Pampallona et al., 2002). The successful treatment of MDD requires close adherence to treatment plans. Those who continue therapy with their initial antidepressant are least likely to experience relapse or recurrence and those who discontinue using their antidepressant early are most likely to have relapse or recurrence
Psychiatrists are encouraged to question their patients about their concerns about adherence. Patients with MDD may be poorly motivated, pessimistic about their chances of recovery with treatment, or careless with their wellbeing. Side effects may often lead to non-adherence (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Adherence to treatment guidelines could be improved throughout the adjustment of antidepressant dosage, reduction of benzodiazepine prescriptions, enhanced use of ECT and wider use of interpersonal therapy (Schneider et al., 2005).

Methods used to measure non-adherence have ranged widely. Patient self-reporting is probably the most accurate method (Stephenson et al., 1993). Although it is affected by low sensitivity (about 50%), it generally has high specificity (90%) (Lingam & Scott, 2002). The importance of patients’ attitudes and health beliefs have been increasingly emphasized. Sirey et al. (2001) reported that patients’ perception of the stigma of depression at the start of treatment was indicative of their subsequent medication adherence. Attitudes toward antidepressants directly affect the patient’s willingness to continue on an extended course of treatment (Mitchell, 2006). Reported rates of non-adherence with antidepressant medication in depressive disorders range from 10 to 60% (median 40%), and 42% of patients discontinue their antidepressant medication during the first 30 days and 72% within 90 days (Lingam & Scott, 2002; Olfson et al., 2006). Still, only 1-2% of all publications on the treatment of affective disorders have explored the factors associated with non-adherence, and thus there is a considerable lack of understanding the factors that predict adherence (Lingam & Scott, 2002).

2.8 Suicidal behaviour in MDD

2.8.1 Epidemiology of suicidal behaviour in MDD

Numerous studies have documented an association between suicidal behavior – completed suicide, suicide attempt, and suicidal ideation – and MDD.

A well known meta-analysis (Harris & Barraclough, 1997) and two nationwide studies from Scandinavia (Höyer et al., 2000; Ösby et al., 2001) indicate that an inpatient with MDD has about a 20-fold risk of completed suicide. The risk of a non-fatal suicide attempt among patients with MDD is less precisely known, but is estimated to be about 40% after the first lifetime episode of MDD (Malone et al., 1995) and the rate of repetition of attempt within a year is approximately 25% (Brådvik, 2003).

Depending on the definition applied, the prevalence of suicidal ideation ranges from 47% to 69% in patients with MDD (Asnis et al., 1993; Bronisch & Wittchen, 1994; Zisook et al., 1994). Various types of non-fatal suicidal behavior tend to be highly prevalent among patients with MDD, who also have a markedly increased risk of completed suicide, of which, non-fatal suicidal behavior is perhaps the most important risk indicator (Harris & Barraclough, 1997). The main cause of increased mortality in depression is suicide.
Findings from the psychological autopsy studies conducted over the past decades suggest that depression is evident in 29-88% of all suicides (Lönnqvist, 2000).

While the three types of suicidal behavior – ideation, suicide attempt and completed suicide – probably represent a continuum of self-harming behaviours (Beck, 1986; Smith & Crawford, 1986; Bonner & Rich, 1987; Diekstra, 1993; Vilhjalmsson et al., 1998; Hawton & Van Heeringen, 2000; Beautrais, 2001), research on both types of non-fatal behavior contributes to suicide prevention. More than half (52%) of subjects completing suicide in major depression die in their first attempt, yet many of these first-timers have made their intent known. Further, about half of MDD subjects completing suicide had attempted suicide at least once before (Isometsä et al., 1994). Therefore recognizing both suicidal ideation as well as suicide attempts as important risk indicators, is likely to improve sensitivity in predicting suicide risk; investigating the risk factors for both may also reveal more information about the particular risk factors for completed suicide.

Table 2. Risk factors for suicidal behaviour in major depressive disorder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Suicidal Ideation</th>
<th>Suicide Attempt</th>
<th>Completed Suicide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>younger</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>younger</td>
<td>younger</td>
<td>advancing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>marital isolation</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economical problems / unemployment</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of MDD</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use Disorder</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorders</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative life events</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal behaviour in the past</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicidal ideation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous suicide attempts</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of suicide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.8.2 Risk factors for suicidal ideation in MDD

The studies that have investigated the risk factors of suicidal ideation in depression have consistently identified the severity of depression (Van Gastel et al., 1997; Pages et al., 1997; Alexopoulos et al., 1999), comorbid personality disorder (Van Gastel et al., 1997), comorbid alcohol dependence or abuse (Cornelius et al., 1995; Pages et al., 1997), comorbid anxiety disorder (Schaffer et al., 2000), female gender (Pages et al., 1997, Schaffer et al., 2000), age (Lynch et al., 1999), unemployment (Pages et al., 1997), life events (Monroe et al., 2001), poor social support (Alexopoulos et al., 1999), hopelessness (Rudd, 1990, Van Gastel et al., 1997, Pages et al., 1997) and previous suicide attempts (Alexopoulos et al., 1999) as risk factors for suicidal ideation in MDD. (Table 2).
2.8.3 Risk factors for suicide attempts in MDD

Numerous studies on non-fatal suicidal behavior among depressed patients have largely focused on risk factors of suicide attempts. Those found to be important in several independent studies include a suicide attempt in the past (Avery & Winokur, 1978; Corbitt et al., 1996), or in the family (Paykel et al., 1975; Crook et al., 1975; Linkowski et al., 1985; Roy, 1993b; Malone et al., 1995), high severity or early onset of depression (Bulik et al., 1990; Roy, 1993b; Malone et al., 2000), comorbid personality disorder (Paykel & Dienelt, 1971; Friedman et al., 1983; Fyer et al., 1988; Corbitt et al., 1996; Van Gastel et al., 1997; Soloff et al., 2000), comorbid alcohol dependence or abuse (Bulik et al., 1990; Duggan et al.; 1991, Roy, 1993b), comorbid chronic physical illness (Duggan et al., 1991), younger age (Van Gastel et al., 1997; Castrogiovanni et al., 1998), marital isolation or discord (Crook et al., 1975; Bulik et al., 1990; Roy, 1993b), recent adverse life events (Paykel et al., 1975; Van Gastel et al., 1997), hopelessness (Rifai et al., 1994; Malone et al., 2000; Soloff et al., 2000) and, not unexpectedly, suicidal ideation (Bulik et al., 1990; Malone et al., 1995; 2000). However, it remains controversial whether or not comorbid anxiety disorders do, in fact, increase (Lepine et al., 1993) risk of a suicide attempt (Noyes, 1991; Friedman et al., 1992; Allgulander, 1994; Placidi et al., 2000). (Table 2).

2.8.4 Risk factors for completed suicide in MDD

The lifetime risk of a non-fatal suicide attempt among patients with MDD is estimated at about 40% (Malone et al., 1995), and may be an important proxy outcome in the investigation of risk factors for suicide. In their review Harris & Barracough (1998) calculated that the combined causes of death-risk for all affective disorders were 1.7 times greater than expected. Every sixth death among individuals with affective disorder treated as psychiatric patients is caused by suicide (Lönnqvist, 2000). However, the often quoted rate of 15% of completed suicide among psychiatric patients with severe depressive disorders (Guze & Robbins, 1970) has lately been debated and reassessed. Blair-West et al. (1999) reported a combined lifetime suicide risk in major depression patients of 3.4% (7% for males and 1% for females) and Bostwick & Pankratz (2000) reported subsequently a lifetime risk of 8.6%.

In several earlier studies, as risk factors for completed suicide in MDD have been identified to include among others: a history of previous suicide attempts (Fawcett et al., 1987; Nordström et al., 1995; Angst et al., 2005; Coryell & Young, 2005), male sex (Modestin & Kopp, 1988; Höyer et al., 2004), previous psychiatric hospitalizations (Modestin & Kopp, 1988; Höyer et al., 2004; Coryell & Young, 2005), hopelessness (Fawcett et al., 1987; Coryell & Young, 2005), suicidal ideation (Fawcett et al., 1987; Coryell & Young, 2005), comorbid substance use disorder (Fawcett et al., 1987; Dumais et al. 2005), comorbid personality disorder (especially Cluster B) (Hansen et al., 2003; Dumais et al. 2005), anxiety (Fawcett et al., 1987) and increasing age (Höyer et al., 2004). (Table 2).
2.8.5 Hopelessness and its relation to suicidal behaviour and MDD

Since the 1970’s Beck and colleagues among others have shown in their reports that hopelessness contributes to the relationship between depression and suicidal behaviour. They also introduced a special scale for measuring hopelessness. Cognitive research on suicide and risk prediction has developed a model of suicidal behaviour in which hopelessness is a key psychological variable. Hopelessness as it occurs in depressed patients, may be viewed as having characteristics pertaining to both state and trait. During depression, hopelessness escalates and then subsides over the course of illness (Beck et al., 1975; 1990; Beck & Weishaar, 1990; Beck, 2005; Williams et al., 2005a).

2.8.6 Limitations of earlier studies

While studies often provide important insights into the risk factors of non-fatal forms of suicidal behaviour during depression, they also tend to have important limitations that compromise the generalizeability, or even at times the validity of the findings. With few exceptions (Duggan et al., 1991; Bronisch & Hecht, 1992; Nordström et al., 1995; Alexopoulos et al., 1999; Lynch et al., 1999; Oquendo et al., 2002; Hansen et al., 2003), most of the available studies are cross-sectional, and are based on selected patient populations. Moreover, suicide attempters are often compared with non-attempters who may also have high levels of suicidal ideation. If ideation and attempts are assumed to share common risk factors, such a design feature weakens the study’s ability to recognize risk factors. Several studies have been conducted exclusively within inpatient settings (Avery & Winokur, 1978; Linkowski et al., 1985; Malone et al., 1995; 2000; Corbitt et al., 1996; Van Gastel et al., 1997; Pages et al., 1997; Alexopoulos et al., 1999; Soloff et al., 2000), or only outpatients (Monroe et al., 2001), or exclusively geriatric patients (Rifai et al., 1994; Alexopoulos et al., 1999; Lynch et al., 1999), have had small sample sizes (Slater & Depue, 1981; Bulik et al., 1990; Duggan et al., 1991; Roy et al., 1993b; Bronisch & Wittchen, 1994; Rifai et al, 1994; Malone et al., 1995; 2000; Lynch et al., 1999; Soloff et al., 2000; Monroe et al., 2001), or been retrospective (Avery & Winokur, 1978; Schaffer et al., 2000) or have investigated populations with diagnostically mixed affective disorders (Fawcett et al., 1990; Nordström et al., 1995). Thus the generalizeability of their findings to other settings or populations, or their power to detect risk factors, may have been limited.

Van Gastel et al. investigated the risk factors common to both suicidal ideation and attempted suicide (Van Gastel et al., 1997). Furthermore, suicidal ideation has often been measured with only a single item from a depression symptom scale, such as HAM-D or BDI (Alexopoulos et al., 1999; Schaffer et al., 2000; Monroe et al., 2001). In fact, very few studies can claim to both have a representative utilized patient sample and rigorous methodology. Moreover, since the etiology of suicidal behaviour is known to be multifactorial (Mann, 1999), a broader range of explanatory variables, in addition to mere symptom measures, is desirable. For example, social support may protect against suicidal acts by providing a reason for living (Malone et al., 2000), even among deeply depressed patients.
3 AIMS OF THE STUDY

This study investigated the risk factors of suicidal behaviour (suicidal ideation and suicide attempts) both cross-sectionally and prospectively, short-term course of suicidal ideation, treatments received, attitudes of and adherence to treatment of suicidal patients in a sample of 269 MDD patients.

The specific aims of the study were:

1. To examine the risk factors of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts, and to highlight any difference between the two, in a sample of MDD patients effectively representing psychiatric in- and outpatients in the city of Vantaa in Finland.

2. To investigate prospectively the risk factors of attempted suicide among psychiatric out- and inpatients with major depressive disorder (MDD) in the city of Vantaa, Finland.

3. To investigate prospectively the short-term course of suicidal ideation and the temporal relationship between suicidal ideation and depressive symptoms, level of hopelessness and level of anxiety symptoms among psychiatric patients with MDD.

4. To investigate treatment received and self-reported attitudes as well as adherence to treatment by comparing patients with or without suicidal ideation or attempts at baseline.
Figure 4. Flow-chart of the sampling procedure in the VDS
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4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 General study design

The VDS is a collaborative depression research and development project conducted between the Department of Mental Health and Alcohol Research of the National Public Health Institute, Helsinki, and the Department of Psychiatry of HUCH, Peijas hospital (the Peijas Medical Care District PMCD), Vantaa, Finland. The catchment area comprises the city of Vantaa (population 169 000 in 1997). The Department of Psychiatry at Peijas hospital offers secondary care psychiatric services to all Vantaa citizens. These include a psychiatric inpatient unit, a general hospital outpatient clinic, four community mental health care centres – each covering a specified catchment area – and two day hospitals.

4.2 Screening

The first phase of patient sampling for the VDS Cohort Study involved screening all patients in the PMCD for a possible new episode of DSM-IV MDD between February 1, 1997 and May 31, 1998. During that period, all patients (N=806) aged 20-59 years, who were 1) seeking treatment at, 2) being referred to or 3) already receiving care and currently showing signs of deteriorating clinical state in the Department of Psychiatry, but without a clinical diagnosis of ICD-10 schizophrenia or bipolar I disorder, were screened for the presence of depressive symptoms. The screening instrument included the five screening questions regarding depression from the WHO Schedule for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN), version 2.0 (Wing et al., 1990). The Scale for Suicidal Ideation (SSI) (Beck et al., 1979) was also completed in order to disclose the individuals with moderate to severe suicidal ideation or plans. If the following criteria matched the patients after either 1) a positive response to any of the SCAN screening questions, 2) clinical suspicion of depression by the interviewing personnel, or 3) a score of six or more (≥6) in the SSI irrespective of any depressive symptoms, he/she was fully informed about the study project and his/her participation requested. Of the 703 eligible patients, 161 (22.9%) declined to participate, but 542 (77.1%) agreed and gave their written informed consent. The non-participating did not differ significantly (p>0.05) in age or gender from those who consented. The Ethics Committee of Peijas Hospital approved the study on December 2nd 1996. (Figure 4).
4.3 Baseline evaluation

4.3.1 Diagnostic measures

In the second phase of sampling, the 542 consenting patients were interviewed face-to-face by a researcher using the WHO SCAN, version 2.0, for which all had received training by a WHO certified training centre. Patients were examined whether or not the current mood episode fulfilled the criteria for (unipolar) DSM-IV MDD. All psychiatric and medical records in the PMCD, including a standardized set of laboratory tests, were also available at the interview. Patients with current alcohol or other substance abuse were interviewed after two or three weeks of abstinence, in order to eliminate substance-induced mood disorders. On this basis, 269 of the 542 patients participating in the second phase of sampling were diagnosed with DSM-IV MDD and were included in the study. Diagnostic reliability was verified using 20 videotaped diagnostic interviews; the kappa coefficient for MDD was 0.86[0.58-1.0], with 95% observed agreement rate.

The decision to include the patient in the study cohort was made by the researcher during the interview, after which an entire SCAN interview was conducted to achieve a full picture of Axis I comorbid disorders. In addition, the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III-R personality Disorders (SCID-II) (Spitzer et al., 1989) was also used to assess diagnoses on Axis II.

4.3.2 Exclusion criteria

Patients with a diagnosis of DSM-IV bipolar I or II disorder, schizoaffective disorder, schizophrenia or another nonaffective psychosis, organic or substance-induced mood disorder, were excluded from the study, even if they fulfilled the symptom criteria of current MDE. Also excluded were the cases with a history of MDD if the current episode did not fulfil the criteria of the disorder.

4.3.3 Observer and self-report scales

In the cohort baseline measurements the following observer scales were used: the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) (Hamilton, 1960) was used to assess the severity of depression, the Scale for Suicidal Ideation (SSI) for the severity of the suicidal ideation, the Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale of DSM-IV (SOFAS) (Goldman et al., 1992) for the level of functioning.

The self-report scales used in the study included the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck et al., 1961) for the severity of depression, Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) (Beck, 1988) for the level of anxiety, Beck Hopelessness Scale (HS) (Beck et al., 1974)
for the level of hopelessness, Interview for Recent Life Events (IRLE) (Paykel, 1983) for life events and Perceived Social Support Scale-Revised (PSSS-R) (Blumenthal et al., 1987) for the level of social support.

**4.3.4 Suicidal behaviour**

Suicidal behaviour was investigated in several ways. Current suicidal ideation was first examined using the Scale for Suicidal Ideation (SSI). SSI is a 19-item observer scale designed to quantify the intensity of current conscious suicide ideation in various dimensions of self-destructive thoughts or wishes: the extent of the wish to die, the desire to make an actual suicide attempt and details of any plans; also internal deterrents to an active attempt, and subjective feelings of control and/or "courage" regarding a proposed attempt. Each item consists of three alternative statements graded in intensity from 0 to 2, with the maximum total score being 38. Here suicidal ideation refers to patients who scored ≥6 in the SSI (Beck & Kovacs, 1979). Secondly, the interviewer asked the patient whether they seriously considered suicide at any point during the current major depressive episode.

In addition, the occurrence of a suicide attempt during the current major depressive episode was investigated, based on both the interview and psychiatric records. By definition, a suicide attempt had to involve at least some degree of intent to die; self-harm with no suicidal intention was not classified as a suicide attempt.

**4.3.5 Adequacy of treatment received**

During the acute phase of the index episode, the majority of patients (78%) were receiving antidepressants at normal adult doses (Melartin et al., 2005). The adequacy of antidepressant dosage was defined as usual adult doses recommended in the APA Practice Guideline (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Psychotherapeutic support comprised of regular appointments with a mental health professional with the aim to help the patient by discussing his or her own problems. The patients whose diagnosis switched to bipolar disorder during the follow-up (13/269, [5%]) were excluded from the analyses. The outcome of MDD and the comorbid disorders were investigated at 6-month follow-up by repeated SCAN, version 2.0 and SCID-II interviews, the observer- and self-report scales and medical and psychiatric records.

**4.3.6 Attitudes toward treatment**

Attitudes toward antidepressant and psychotherapeutic treatment were assessed separately through interviews and rated on a Likert scale according to the following items: patient (1) actively wants treatment, (2) passively accepts treatment, (3) has reservations about treatment, (4) has definite negative attitudes toward treatment, (5) could not answer. At
the 6-month follow-up, patients were interviewed with scales according to the following items: attitudes toward treatment are (1) very positive, (2) positive, (3) neutral, (4) negative, (5) very negative, or (6) could not answer (Melartin et al., 2005).

4.4 Follow-up procedure

4.4.1 Outcome measures and life-chart methodology

Of the 269 subjects with current MDD initially included in the study, 198 were still alive at the end of the 18-month follow-up period, had remained unipolar and could be followed up (Melartin et al., 2004). The patients whose diagnosis switched to bipolar disorder during the follow-up (13/269, [5%]) were analysed separately.

The outcome of MDD and the comorbid disorders were investigated at 6 and 18 months by repeating SCAN, version 2.0 and SCID-II interviews, the observer- and self-report scales and medical and psychiatric records.

A detailed life chart was created with the duration after the baseline divided into three classes: a) state of full remission (0 of the 9 criteria symptoms for major depressive episode), b) partial remission (1-4/9 symptoms), and c) major depressive episode (5+/9 symptoms).

Two alternative definitions for duration of the index episode were used: the uninterrupted duration of the episode of major depressive episode-1) time with full MDE criteria, and time to the first onset of state of full remission that lasted at least two consecutive months-2) time to full remission (Melartin et al., 2004).

4.4.2 Suicide attempts during the follow-up period

Occurrence of a suicide attempt before the baseline interview and during the follow-up period was based on both the interview and the psychiatric records (Study II). By definition, a suicide attempt had to involve at least some degree of the intent to die; self-harm with no suicidal intention was not included. Patient months were calculated based on the life chart. Information on the deaths among all the 269 patients during the follow-up period was obtained from the official records from the Statistics of Finland.

For the validity of the results, it is essential to verify that there were no more suicidal patients among those dropping out than among those followed up. This did not seem to be the case. Patients who could not be not followed up did not differ significantly from the patients who were, in terms of suicide attempts before the index episode (18% vs. 14%), suicide attempt during the index episode (25% vs. 23%), or suicidal ideation (38%
However, they were somewhat younger, were more often living alone, had a higher score on the EPI-neuroticism scale, and more often had a comorbid dysthymia (Melartin et al., 2004).

### 4.4.3 Weekly follow-up of suicidal ideation and covariates

A comprehensive evaluation of patients’ suicidality was conducted on a weekly basis until suicidal ideation was resolved. Seventy of the 103 patients with current suicidal ideation at the baseline were followed up weekly, and the SSI, HS, BAI, and BDI scores were measured (Study III). We initially planned that the weekly observation would be discontinued once the patient received two consecutive zero scores in the SSI. However, this goal was perhaps overly optimistic, and thus, we subsequently decided to analyze the weekly observations after the first score of zero in the SSI. All patients were followed up from the baseline to at least two observations, with the maximum follow-up time being 26 weeks. For 47 patients suicidal ideation was resolved, 8 patients dropped out, and 15 patients were followed up for the maximum period.

Patients who could be followed up successfully, as compared with those who were not, had higher level of psychopathology, more anxiety disorders (46[66%] vs. 13[39%], $\chi^2=6.349$, df=1, p=0.018), more cluster B personality disorders (19[27%] vs. 2[6%], $\chi^2=6.141$, df=1, p=0.017), higher level of hopelessness (12.6±4.7 vs. 10.5±4.8, F=4.083, df=1, p=0.046).

### 4.4.4 Prospective follow-up of treatment attitudes and adherence

The first 6-month-period of the follow-up and the treatment of the index episode of the MDD were prospectively in focus when the attitudes and adherence of the patient were examined (Study IV). Of the 269 patients with current MDD initially included in the study, 218 were followed up and had remained unipolar at the 6-month follow-up.

Patients who could not be followed up did not differ from the patients who were followed up in terms of gender, patient status at the baseline (out- or inpatient), attitudes toward antidepressive medication or psychotherapy, prevalence of anxiety disorders, alcohol dependency/abuse, overall personality disorders, cluster A or C personality disorder, suicidal behaviour, the level of depression, hopelessness, functioning or suicidal ideation at the baseline. However, they were younger (34.6±9.7 years vs. 40.8±11.0 years, F=13.541, df=1, p<.001 ANOVA), more often married or co-habiting [33/51(65%) vs. 101/218(46%), $\chi^2=5.582$, df=1, p=0.02], had more often cluster B personality disorder [12/51(24%) vs. 27/218(12%), $\chi^2=4.141$, p=0.049], perceived less social support [PSSS-R score 35.8±12.3 vs. 39.8±12.8, F=4.099, df=1, p=0.044 ANOVA] and they received less antidepressive medication at the baseline [17/48(35%) vs. 189/218(87%), $\chi^2=59.220$, df=1, p<.001].
4.4.5 Self-reported treatment adherence

Self-reported adherence concerning the provided treatments was investigated by interviewing patients at the 6-month follow-up using a Likert scale with the following response items: the patient has come to sessions/been on antidepressant treatment (1) regularly, treatment compliance adequate with respect to treatment goals, (2) somewhat irregularly and it is unclear whether this would affect treatment goals, (3) very irregularly, the treatment did not proceed according to plan, (4) not at all, the treatment could not be implemented (Melartin et al., 2005).

4.4.6 Statistical analyses

The t-test, chi square-test with Yates’ continuity correction, Fisher’s exact test, ANOVA, and the Kruskal-Wallis test were used in all four studies.

In the first study the statistical methods included non-parametric and parametric analyses. Post hoc subgroup differences were compared by using the Tukey HSD method. Multivariate nominal regression models were created, classifying suicidal behavior as the dependent variable into three mutually exclusive categories: non-suicidal patients (the reference group), suicidal ideators without suicide attempts, and suicide attempters. A variety of predetermined independent variables were included. Age and different rating scale scores were treated as continuous variables in all analyses unless otherwise mentioned. Variables not significantly associated with the independent variable in the multivariate nominal regression model were omitted from the final analysis. In order to avoid circularity, the suicidality items of the depression rating scales were omitted in nominal regression models, and the analysis were verified with and without these items.

In the second study, logistic regression models were created, classifying suicide attempt during the follow up as the dependent variable. The statistical methods also included the Mann-Whitney test to compare continuous variables not normally distributed.

In the third study, the decline of suicidal ideation was studied during the follow up with survival methods by defining the outcome as the first time when two consecutive zero measurements for SSI were found. The overall decline was displayed with the Kaplan-Meier survival curve. Cox’s proportional hazard models with time-varying covariates were used to study the impact of reaching threshold levels in hopelessness, depression or anxiety scores prior to the decline of suicidal ideation while adjusting for the initial scores of suicidal ideation. For each measure, the appropriate threshold level was defined separately. The time-varying covariates representing the decline in hopelessness, depression or anxiety scores, were given the value ‘one’ if the corresponding threshold level (BDI<10, BAI<10, HS<9) was reached for the first time, and ‘zero’ before that. Sensitivity of the results was investigated by varying the chosen threshold values. Altered threshold values did not change the findings. The plausibility of the proportional
hazards assumption was checked by plotting the logarithms of the cumulative baseline hazards against the follow-up time in appropriate comparison groups, as well as with residual analyses of the models. Since the data was collected mostly from outpatients, there were some missed appointments and therefore, missing weekly measurements. The proportions of missing values were on average 32% for SSI, 21% for HS, 20% for BAI and 30% for BDI. Therefore, a more robust measure than the weekly scores of the covariates was needed in the analyses of decline.

In the fourth study, patients’ attitudes and adherence to treatment at the baseline and at 6-month follow-up between the different groups of suicidal behaviour were compared. Suicidal behaviour was classified according to three mutually exclusive categories: non-suicidal patients (the reference group), suicidal ideators without suicide attempts and suicide attempters, based on the suicidal behaviour manifesting at the baseline interview or preceding the index episode. To adjust for confounding differences in severity of major depression and other characteristics, such as gender, age, marital status, HS score, BAI score, alcohol dependency or abuse, PSSS-R score, SOFAS score and personality disorder (any, cluster A, B, or C), multinominal regression models were created. In these models, suicidal behaviour was set as the dependent variable, and possible confounding factors as well as attitudes and adherence to treatment served as independent factors. All non-significant factors were removed from the final analysis.

SPSS software, versions 9.0, 11.0 or 12.0.1 (SPSS Inc. 1989-2005), and Stata software (StataCorp LP) were used.
5 RESULTS

5.1 Suicidal ideation and attempts in MDD (Study I)

5.1.1 Clinical and demographic characteristics of the sample

The characteristics of MDD patients without suicidal behaviour, and with suicidal ideation or suicide attempts, are presented in Table 3. Significant differences were found between the three groups in the degree of depression and suicidal ideation; prevalence of psychotic features, alcohol dependence or abuse, cluster B personality disorder, the degree of anxiety, hopelessness, social and occupational functioning and perceived social support. Furthermore, in post hoc subgroup comparisons, suicidal ideators were found to have a significantly higher level of depression (HAM-D, p=0.005; BDI, p=0.001) and hopelessness (p<0.001), a lower level of perceived social support (p<0.001) and functioning (p=0.004), they were more often males (p=0.02) and had alcohol dependence or abuse (p=0.01) more than the non-suicidal subjects. In addition, suicide attempters had a higher degree of depression (HAM-D, p<0.001) and anxiety (p<0.001), lower level of functioning (p<0.001) and more alcohol dependence or abuse (p<0.001) than the suicidal ideators.

5.1.2 Suicidal ideation and attempts during the current episode

58% of the patients reported suicidal ideation during the current episode, males more often than females (69 % vs. 54%; χ²=5.109, df=1, p=0.02). During the current depressive episode 15% of the patients had attempted suicide (13 % of males vs. 16 % of females; χ²=0.572, df=1, p=0.449).

Among the 269 depressed patients 158 (59%) had some suicidal behavior. Suicidal ideation according to the SSI (score ≥ 6) was current in 103 patients (38%), while 144 (54%) reported suicidal ideation at some point during the current episode. Of the 41 (15%) patients who had attempted suicide, only two (5%) had done so without suicidal ideation at any stage of the current depressive episode (Figure 5).

5.1.3 Risk factors for suicidal ideation or suicide attempt

The nominal regression models predicting various types of suicidal behavior are presented in Table 4. The factors most strongly associated with suicidal ideation were high levels of hopelessness, alcohol dependence or abuse, low levels of functioning and poor perceived social support. Suicide attempt was associated with severity of MDD, alcohol dependence or abuse, younger age and low level of functioning.
Table 3. Characteristics of patients with MDD according to suicidal behaviors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-suicidal N (%)</th>
<th>Suicidal Ideation N (%)</th>
<th>Suicide Attempters N (%)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>111 (41)</td>
<td>117 (44)</td>
<td>41 (15)</td>
<td>269 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males(^a)</td>
<td>22 (20)</td>
<td>41 (35)</td>
<td>9 (22)</td>
<td>72 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>89 (80)</td>
<td>76 (65)</td>
<td>32 (78)</td>
<td>197 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± sd</td>
<td>40.5 ± 11.4</td>
<td>39.4 ± 11.1</td>
<td>37.8 ± 10.0</td>
<td>39.6 ± 11.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotic depression(^b)</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>11 (9)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>22 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D score, mean ± sd(^c)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 5.1</td>
<td>19.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>24.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>19.5 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI score, mean ± sd(^d)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>9.0 ± 7.6</td>
<td>15.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>6.4 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI score, mean ± sd(^e)</td>
<td>25.4 ± 8.3</td>
<td>29.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>29.1 ± 10.0</td>
<td>27.7 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI score, mean ± sd(^f)</td>
<td>20.2 ± 10.4</td>
<td>22.6 ± 10.3</td>
<td>27.5 ± 10.5</td>
<td>22.4 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS score, mean ± sd(^g)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11.3 ± 4.9</td>
<td>11.0 ± 4.8</td>
<td>10.3 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence/abuse(^h)</td>
<td>13 (12)</td>
<td>32 (27)</td>
<td>21 (51)</td>
<td>66 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorder</td>
<td>40 (36)</td>
<td>56 (48)</td>
<td>22 (54)</td>
<td>118 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster A</td>
<td>16 (14)</td>
<td>28 (24)</td>
<td>7 (17)</td>
<td>51 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster B(^i)</td>
<td>9 (8)</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
<td>12 (29)</td>
<td>39 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster C</td>
<td>27 (24)</td>
<td>40 (34)</td>
<td>18 (44)</td>
<td>85 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder</td>
<td>61 (55)</td>
<td>61 (52)</td>
<td>30 (73)</td>
<td>152 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking(^j)</td>
<td>42 (38)</td>
<td>48 (41)</td>
<td>25 (61)</td>
<td>115 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFAS score, mean ± sd(^k)</td>
<td>55.2 ± 9.7</td>
<td>50.8 ± 10.5</td>
<td>45.5 ± 11.8</td>
<td>51.8 ± 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR score, mean ± sd(^l)</td>
<td>42.8 ± 11.2</td>
<td>36.3 ± 13.1</td>
<td>36.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>39.1 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \(\chi^2 = 7.306, \text{df} = 2, p= 0.026\)
\(^b\) \(\chi^2 = 7.646, \text{df} = 2, p= 0.022\)
\(^c\) HAM-D = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, \(F= 21.67, p<0.001\), ANOVA
\(^d\) SSI = Scale for Suicidal Ideation, \(\chi^2 = 19.11 , \text{df} = 2, p<0.001\), Kruskal-Wallis test
\(^e\) BDI = Beck Depression Inventory, \(F= 6.912, p = 0.001\), ANOVA
\(^f\) BAI = Beck Anxiety Inventory, \(F= 7.4, p= 0.006\), ANOVA
\(^g\) HS = Beck Hopelessness Scale, \(F= 7.920, p<0.001\), ANOVA
\(^h\) \(\chi^2 = 26.126, \text{df} = 2, p< 0.001\)
\(^i\) \(\chi^2 = 10.946, \text{df} = 2, p = 0.004\)
\(^j\) \(\chi^2 = 6.801, \text{df} = 2, p = 0.03\)
\(^k\) SOFAS = Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale, \(F= 14.006, p<0.001\), ANOVA
\(^l\) PSR = Perceived Social Support Scale-Revised, \(F= 8.429, p<0.001\), ANOVA
Table 4. Nominal Regression Models for Different Suicidal Behaviours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-suicidal OR</th>
<th>Suicidal Ideation OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Suicide Attempt OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.76-3.04</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.47-3.87</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95-1.00</td>
<td>3.208</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92-1.00</td>
<td>3.789</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D score</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.99-1.11</td>
<td>2.571</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.06-1.27</td>
<td>10.880</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS score</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.01-1.15</td>
<td>5.149</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.97-1.16</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence/abuse</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.01-4.74</td>
<td>4.010</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>2.40-16.41</td>
<td>14.097</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSSS-R score</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95-1.00</td>
<td>4.369</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93-1.00</td>
<td>2.698</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFAS score</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.93-0.99</td>
<td>7.398</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.90-0.98</td>
<td>8.653</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR: Odds Ratio
HAM-D: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale
HS: Beck Hopelessness Scale
PSSS-R: Perceived Social Support Scale-Revised
SOFAS: Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale

Figure 5. Suicidal behavior among depressed patients (N = 269)

- a  Patients with suicidal ideation (156/269)
- b  Patients, who attempted suicide with suicidal ideation (39/269)
- c  Patients, who attempted suicide without suicidal ideation (2/269)
- d  Depressed patients without suicidal behavior (111/269)
5.2 Risk factors for attempted suicide in MDD (Study II)

5.2.1 Suicide attempts during the prospective follow-up

During the 18-month prospective follow-up, 8% of the patients reported at least one, and altogether 41 discrete suicide attempts. The risk of a suicide attempt during full remission was 4/1201 patient months, during partial remission 12/1441 months (relative risk 2.50), and during MDE 25/995 months (relative risk 7.54, χ²=24.3, df=2, p<0.001). Of all the 269 patients in the cohort, eight patients (3%) died during the 18 months after the baseline, three (1%) of them through suicide.

5.2.2 Differences between suicide attempters and non-attempters

Significant differences were found between the attempters and non-attempters (Table 5) in terms of severity of index episode depression, the degree of suicidal ideation and anxiety, the prevalence of personality disorder, prevalence of suicide attempts during the index episode, time to full remission and total time spent in MDE, and marital status (lack of partner). Patients with cluster B or borderline personality disorder had more attempts (Mann-Whitney test, Z=-2.146, p=0.032 and Z=-2.165, p=0.030 respectively).

5.2.3 Predictors of suicide attempt during the follow-up

In the logistic regression model predicting suicide attempts during the follow-up period (Table 6), the predetermined covariates comprised gender, age, marital status, HAM-D score, alcohol dependence or abuse, BAI score, personality disorder (any), cluster B personality disorder, suicide attempt during the index episode, and time spent in MDEs (months). After removing the non-significant variables, three factors were strongly associated with suicide attempt: months spent in MDEs (OR 1.13), suicide attempt during the index episode (OR 5.62) and lack of partner (OR 5.10).

5.2.4 Patients who switched to bipolar disorder

Patients who switched to bipolar disorder (5%) were a particularly suicidal subgroup. They reported more suicidal ideation before the index episode (69% vs. 38%; Fisher’s exact test, p=0.039), had more suicide attempts before the index episode (62% vs. 22%; Fisher’s exact test, p=0.003) and non-significantly during the follow-up (22% vs. 8%; Fisher’s exact test, p=0.17).
Table 5. Differences in Characteristics Between Those Who Did and Did Not Attempt Suicide of the 198 Patients with Unipolar MDD during the 18-month Prospective Follow up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No SA</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total N (%)</strong></td>
<td>182 (92)</td>
<td>16 (8)</td>
<td>198 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sociodemographic features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>51 (28)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>55 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>131 (72)</td>
<td>12 (78)</td>
<td>143 (72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or co-habitinga</td>
<td>104 (57)</td>
<td>3 (19)</td>
<td>107 (54)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± sd</td>
<td>41.2 ± 11.0</td>
<td>38.4 ± 11.3</td>
<td>41.0 ± 11.1</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSSS-R score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>39.4 ± 12.8</td>
<td>35.2 ± 14.4</td>
<td>39.0 ± 13.0</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depression related characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of depression at baselineb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>99 (54)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>103 (52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>72 (40)</td>
<td>12 (75)</td>
<td>84 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotic features, N(%)</td>
<td>11 (6)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melancholic features, N(%)</td>
<td>67 (37)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>74 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to full remission (months), mean ± sd</td>
<td>4.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>8.1 ± 7.5</td>
<td>4.4 ± 5.1</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time in MDEs (months), mean ± sd</td>
<td>4.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>8.6 ± 7.1</td>
<td>4.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom scores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>18.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>23.8 ± 6.0</td>
<td>19.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>5.5 ± 7.3</td>
<td>12.6 ± 10.7</td>
<td>6.1 ± 7.8</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>27.0 ± 8.1</td>
<td>31.1 ± 7.7</td>
<td>27.4 ± 10.8</td>
<td>.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>21.2 ± 10.6</td>
<td>27.4 ± 11.0</td>
<td>21.7 ± 10.8</td>
<td>.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>10.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>11.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>10.1 ± 4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFAS score, mean ± sd</td>
<td>52.7 ± 10.2</td>
<td>48.3 ± 12.6</td>
<td>52.3 ± 10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of suicidal behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA during the index episode, N(%)i</td>
<td>21 (11)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>28 (14)</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA before the index episode, N(%)</td>
<td>42 (23)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>46 (23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA before/ during the index episode, N(%)i</td>
<td>55 (30)</td>
<td>9 (56)</td>
<td>64 (32)</td>
<td>.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>No SA</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>All Patients</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychiatric comorbidity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric comorbidity (any), N(%)</td>
<td>141 (78)</td>
<td>13 (81)</td>
<td>154 (78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence/abuse, N(%)</td>
<td>40 (22)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>44 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence, N(%)</td>
<td>21 (12)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>23 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse, N(%)</td>
<td>19 (10)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>21 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorder (any), N(%)k</td>
<td>74 (41)</td>
<td>11 (69)</td>
<td>85 (43)</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster A</td>
<td>32 (18)</td>
<td>5 (31)</td>
<td>37 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster B</td>
<td>24 (13)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>28 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster C</td>
<td>54 (30)</td>
<td>8 (50)</td>
<td>62 (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPD</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>23 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder (any), N(%)</td>
<td>98 (54)</td>
<td>10 (63)</td>
<td>108 (54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>22 (12)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>26 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agoraphobia without panic</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>22 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>30 (17)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
<td>34 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple phobia</td>
<td>43 (24)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>50 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>23 (13)</td>
<td>3 (19)</td>
<td>26 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCD</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANOVA = analysis of variance, BAI = Beck Anxiety Inventory, BDI = Beck Depression Inventory, BPD = borderline personality disorder, GAD = generalized anxiety disorder, HAM-D = Hamilton rating scale for Depression, HS = Beck Hopelessness scale, MDE = major depressive episode, MDD = major depressive disorder, OCD = obsessive-compulsive disorder, PSSS-R = Perceived Social Support Scale-Revised, PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder, SA = suicide attempt, SOFAS = Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale, SSI = Scale for Suicidal Ideation.

Table 6. Logistic regression model for suicide attempts during the 18-month follow up. (N=198).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Wald χ²</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.36-5.28</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.92-1.03</td>
<td>1.136</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time in MDE (months)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.03-1.26</td>
<td>6.960</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (lack of partner)</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>1.32-19.71</td>
<td>5.589</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA in the baseline</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>1.69-18.61</td>
<td>7.968</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MDE- Major Depressive episode, SA- Suicide Attempt
5.3 Duration and trends of suicidal ideation and depression during the follow-up period (Study III)

5.3.1 Course of suicidal ideation

Suicidal ideation resolved in two thirds (67%) of the patients, but persisted for the entire follow-up in one fifth (21%). In addition, 11% of the patients dropped out. 50% of the population reached zero in 2.2 months (9.6 weeks). The median time for reaching a zero level in the suicidal ideation was 1.6 months (6.8 weeks). For patients with both the weekly follow-up (duration of suicidal ideation) and the life chart (time with full MDE criteria and time to full remission) measures available (n=53), the median time for the decline to zero was 2.7 months, the median time with full MDD criteria was 2.6 months and the median time to achieve full remission was 4.2 months, respectively. The difference in the median time for suicidal ideation decline was not statistically significant between patients with cluster B personality disorders and those without (1.7 months [7.4 weeks] vs. 1.6 months [6.7 weeks], p=0.45, log rank test) (Figure 6).

5.3.2 Baseline factors predicting duration of suicidal ideation

Cox’s proportional hazard models with time-varying covariates were used to study the effect of risk factors predicting the duration of suicidal ideation. A high baseline level of suicidal ideation, depressive symptoms, and the presence of any personality disorder each predicted a longer duration for suicidal ideation.

5.3.3 Predictors for a decline in suicidal ideation

In separate analyses, a decline in hopelessness, depressive symptoms and anxiety were each significant predictors for the decline in suicidal ideation. In all analyses, adjusting for the initial level of suicidal ideation showed that the decline depends significantly on the severity of the baseline symptoms; the higher the initial level, the longer the duration. The importance of the initial level of suicidal ideation was stable in all separate analyses.

When analysing the impact of a decline in hopelessness, depressive symptoms and anxiety symptoms jointly in the decline of suicidal ideation, the apparent separate effect of anxiety turned out to be non-significant (Table 7), whereas declines in both depression and hopelessness had independent effects on the decline in suicidal ideation. This may be due to the high correlation between subsequent scores in hopelessness and anxiety (0.8). Since the decline in hopelessness was more rapid in anxiety, it apparently masked the effect of the decline in anxiety.
Table 7. Cox proportional hazard models for the decline of suicidal ideation adjusted separately for the normalization of depressive symptoms (BDI), anxiety (BAI), and hopelessness (HS) and jointly for all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Separate models</th>
<th>Joint model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR  95% CI</td>
<td>HR  95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI baseline</td>
<td>0.91 0.84-0.97</td>
<td>0.92 0.86-0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>7.68 3.73-15.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI</td>
<td>4.70 1.99-11.09</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SSI = Scale for Suicide Ideation, BDI = Beck Depression Inventory, BAI = Beck Anxiety Inventory, HS = Beck Hopelessness Scale, HR = Hazard Ratio.

Figure 6. Decline in proportion of cases with suicidal ideation by the Kaplan-Meier survival curve.
5.4 Adequacy, attitudes and adherence to treatments (Study IV)

5.4.1 Differences between clinical characteristics and treatment

Patients with suicidal behaviour had a higher level of overall psychopathology on entering the study. Their level of depression, anxiety, hopelessness and suicidal ideation were all significantly higher. They had also a higher prevalence of alcohol dependency/abuse, cluster B personality disorders and any comorbidity overall. The prevalence of MDD, the level of depressive symptoms, anxiety and suicidal ideation of patients with suicidal behaviour remained higher at follow-up.

Suicidal patients received significantly more often antidepressant and anxiolytic treatment, they visited their psychiatrist significantly more often and the number of overall visits was also greater than non-suicidal patients. (Table 8). Patients with suicidal behaviour appeared to receive treatment to correspond to their condition.

5.4.2 Attitudes and self-reported adherence to treatment

Patients with suicidal behaviour had a more favourable attitude to antidepressant treatment both at the baseline and at the 6-month follow-up. There were no statistically significant differences in the attitudes toward psychotherapeutic treatment. After adjusting for the confounding effect of the level of depression and other possible factors, the positive attitudes toward antidepressant treatment remained still significantly associated with both kinds of suicidal behaviour. However, despite the more positive attitudes, self reported adherence to antidepressant and psychotherapeutic treatment did not differ between the groups (Table 9).
Table 8. Treatment during the six-months follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>92 (42)</td>
<td>92 (42)</td>
<td>34 (16)</td>
<td>218 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant medication(a)</td>
<td>70 (76)</td>
<td>87 (95)</td>
<td>32 (94)</td>
<td>189 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiolytics or hypnotics(b)</td>
<td>33 (41)</td>
<td>40 (46)</td>
<td>23 (72)</td>
<td>96 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapy</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>11 (12)</td>
<td>6 (18)</td>
<td>24 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial support</td>
<td>83 (90)</td>
<td>79 (88)</td>
<td>28 (82)</td>
<td>190 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to psychiatrist, mean ± sd(c)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>4.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>6.4 ± 7.2</td>
<td>4.1 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of all visits, mean ± sd(d)</td>
<td>16.5 ± 14.9</td>
<td>25.8 ± 25.3</td>
<td>20.6 ± 16.7</td>
<td>21.3 ± 20.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NS= non-suicidal, SI = suicidal ideation, SA = suicide attempt, ECT= electro convulsive therapy.

\(\chi^2 = 15.542, df = 2, p < .001.\)

\(\chi^2 = 8.997, df = 2, p = .011.\)

\(F = 9.010, df=2, p < .001, ANOVA.\)

\(F = 4.122, df=2, p = .018, ANOVA.\)

Table 9. Patients’ attitudes and self-reported adherence to treatment after six-month follow-up classified by suicidal behaviour at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>92 (42)</td>
<td>92 (42)</td>
<td>34 (16)</td>
<td>218 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive attitudes towards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapeutic treatment at baseline</td>
<td>80 (89)</td>
<td>74 (82)</td>
<td>27 (82)</td>
<td>181 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapeutic treatment at six months</td>
<td>90 (98)</td>
<td>92 (100)</td>
<td>33 (97)</td>
<td>215 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD treatment at bl(a)</td>
<td>39 (43)</td>
<td>70 (76)</td>
<td>26 (77)</td>
<td>135 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD treatment at six months(b)</td>
<td>61 (68)</td>
<td>74 (80)</td>
<td>27 (82)</td>
<td>162 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiolytics/Hypnotics(c)</td>
<td>32 (38)</td>
<td>33 (38)</td>
<td>20 (65)</td>
<td>85 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good adherence to psychotherapeutic treatment</td>
<td>60 (74)</td>
<td>59 (67)</td>
<td>20 (69)</td>
<td>139 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good adherence to AD treatment</td>
<td>56 (69)</td>
<td>63 (71)</td>
<td>21 (70)</td>
<td>140 (70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations:NS= non-suicidal, SI = suicidal ideation, SA = suicide attempt, AD = Antidepressive medication.

\(\chi^2 = 24.975, df = 2, p < .001.\)

\(\chi^2 = 9.951, df = 4, p = .04.\)

\(\chi^2 = 8.751, df = 4, p < .068.\)
6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Main findings

Among psychiatric in- and outpatients with DSM-IV major depressive disorder, patients with suicidal ideation or suicide attempts clearly had a higher level of overall psychopathology. 58 % of the patients reported suicidal ideation during the current episode and 15% had attempted suicide at the baseline. Suicidal ideation was prevalent in almost all (95%) of the 15% of patients who had attempted suicide. The risk factors for suicidal ideation and attempts appeared largely to overlap.

During the 18-month prospective follow up, 8% of the patients with MDD attempted suicide. The risk of an attempt was almost eight-fold during a major depressive episode compared with a period of full remission. Suicide attempt during the follow-up period was effectively predicted by three independent factors: lack of a partner, history of previous suicide attempts and time spent in major depressive episodes. Effective treatment of depression is a credible preventive measure for suicide attempts.

Suicidal ideation resolved in the majority of the suicidal MDD patients during the first 2 to 3 months. The duration of suicidal ideation was longer for patients with a higher level of psychopathology, such as initially high level of suicidal ideation or depressive symptoms at the baseline, or some personality disorder. Independent declines both in depression and hopelessness predicted the ensuing decline in suicidal ideation, and they both could have a causal role in the reversal of the suicidal process.

Patients with suicidal behaviour often received more antidepressants, had more frequent appointments with attending psychiatrists and received greater psychotherapeutic support from other mental health professionals than non-suicidal patients. Suicidal patients also had more favourable attitudes towards antidepressant treatment, and comparable adherence to treatment than those not suicidal.

Problems in the adequacy of treatment or attitudes or adherence to treatment, were not the factors markedly differentiating suicidal patients. Instead these problems appear to be generic to all psychiatric care.
6.2 Methods

6.2.1 Representativeness of the sample

The present study involved a relatively large (N=269) cohort of both out- and inpatients with MDD, effectively representing all psychiatric patients with a new episode of MDD in the city of Vantaa, Finland.

Two thirds of all depressed subjects in the general population of the city of Vantaa seeking treatment from psychiatrists are treated at the PMCD (Rytsälä et al., 2001). This study took place during the era of current antidepressants in 1997-1999 in a modern community psychiatric setting; at the baseline, 78% of the patients received antidepressants at adequate levels during the acute phase in compliance with the APA Practice Guideline (Melartin et al., 2004).

6.2.2 Diagnostic measures

Patients entering the study were carefully diagnosed using semi-structured interviews with excellent reliability (κ=0.86) for the diagnosis of MDD. However, the reliability of comorbid disorder diagnoses remains unknown. Axis II diagnoses were assessed using the semi-structured SCID-II interview for DSM-II-R (because in 1997 SCID-II for DSM-IV was not available). Differences between DSM-III-R and DSM-IV were taken into account.

6.2.3 Life-chart methodology

Keller et al. (1987) introduced in the NIMH-CDS the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation (LIFE) methodology, first used to investigate the outcome of depression. In the VDS the course of depression was assessed during the follow-up by using a graphic life-chart methodology, which is a similar but not identical to LIFE. All patient records and monthly BDI-ratings (for the first 6 months) were available. Patients’ follow-up time was classified into periods of DSM-IV MDE, partial remission, or full remission.

6.2.4 Drop outs

The rate of total drop-outs was low, as 87% of the cases could be interviewed at least once after the baseline. The patients whose diagnosis switched to bipolar I or II during the follow-up period (5%) were excluded from the analyses.

However, due to deaths, diagnostic switch to bipolar disorder and dropping out after 6 months, 74% of the original 269 patients were included in the prospective follow-up study (Study II).
The characteristics of patients in the Vantaa Depression Study do not differ in terms of comorbidity and symptom severity from the few other studies that have reported them comprehensively (Zimmerman et al., 2000; Tedlow et al., 2002), supporting the generalizeability of the findings to other settings. Factors associating with dropping out included both positive (younger age) and negative (living alone) outcome predictors.

In Study III, 70 suicidal patients (68%) out of 103 could be followed up on weekly basis. These patients had a higher level of psychopathology than the VDS cohort overall, or the suicidal cases who did not participate in the weekly follow-up. It is unlikely that the findings would have been different with patients who dropped out included. Suicidal depressive patients commonly differ more from the non-suicidal in their subjective than objective measures on depression. (Malone et al., 1995, van Praag & Plutchik, 1984; Cornelius et al., 1995, Oquendo et al., 1999). In this sample there appeared to be a similar trend.

In Study IV, it could not be determined how many of the patients who refused to participate in the study had suicidal ideation, or attempted suicide, how they were treated, and whether these patients differed from consenting patients with regard to their attitudes and adherence to treatment.

6.2.5 Measurement of suicidal behaviour

The present study is among the few (Rifai et al., 1994; Malone et al., 1995; Corbitt et al. 1996; Malone et al., 2000, Oquendo et al., 2002; 2004) to have employed a psychometric scale (SSI) to measure current suicidal ideation. It is also one of the few to involve a relatively large and unselected sample of both in- and outpatients with major depressive disorder. It is quite common to use an individual item from BDI (item 9) or HAM-D (item 3) or from some other questionnaire to investigate the prevalence or the level of suicidal ideation. Having a psychometric scale included in the study design is far more reliable than just a single item, which is much more vulnerable to random fluctuation. However, suicidal ideation during the entire current MDE was explored also by direct questioning.

A predetermined cut-off point (SSI≥6) was used to define moderate to severe current suicidal ideation. In retrospect, this may have been somewhat high (Beck et al., 1999; Holi et al., 2005), although applying an alternative lower cut-off point (SSI≥2) did not change our findings. In addition, although the internal consistency of SSI was high (Cronbach’s alpha 0.85-0.90), its inter-rater reliability remains unknown.
6.3 Results

6.3.1 Suicidal ideation and attempts among patients with MDD (Study I)

Suicidal ideation is common among psychiatric in- and outpatients with DSM-IV major depressive disorder; nearly two thirds (58%) of the patients reported suicidal ideation at the baseline, and suicidal ideation was prevalent in almost all (95%) of the patients (15%) who had attempted suicide.

There were significant differences between different groups. However, the risk factors found in the nominal regression models for suicidal ideation and attempts appeared largely to overlap, although the overall level of psychopathology and disability among the suicide attempters remained higher.

The role of substance use (alcohol) was probably crucial in suicide attempts. Although cluster B personality disorders and anxiety symptoms were more prevalent among suicidal patients compared to the whole group, neither appeared to be of major importance as an independent risk factor.

However, the cross sectional nature of the study limited our ability to make causal inferences, and prior to interview the temporal relationship between suicidal ideation and suicide attempt may be complicated.

The two forms of suicidal behaviour (ideation and attempts) were associated with several clinical variables, including severity of depression, alcohol dependence or abuse and anxiety, which is in concordance with earlier reports. In the regression models the risk factor domains overlapped, but were not identical. It also appeared that the impact of alcoholism and level of depression may be greater for suicide attempts.

6.3.2 Risk factors for suicide attempt in MDD (Study II)

During the 18-month prospective follow up, 8% of the patients with MDD attempted suicide and the risk of an attempt was almost eight-fold during a major depressive episode as compared with a period of full remission. The risk of suicide attempt effectively was predicted by three independent factors: lack of a partner, history of previous suicide attempts, and time spent in major depressive episodes.

To our knowledge, this study was the first prospective investigation to employ a life chart to place the suicide attempts, which allowed us to identify important disparities in risk between the periods of different levels of depressive symptoms. The findings of this study could be interpreted as evidence for the causal role of depression per se in the aetiology of suicide attempts. However, the high level of comorbidity with anxiety,
substance use and personality disorders in the patient population (Melartin et al., 2002), are all factors independently related to suicidal behaviour, and thus the findings are far from self-evident.

Despite a large cohort of patients the most important limitation of the present study was that during the follow-up period, the number of suicide attempts was moderate and attempters rather small.

6.3.3 Decline in suicidal ideation (Study III)

Suicidal ideation was resolved in the majority of the suicidal MDD patients during the first two to three months. According to the original hypothesis, a decline in hopelessness would be the main determinant for the decline of suicidal ideation. However, in the joint analyses, declines both in depression and hopelessness independently predicted the following decline in suicidal ideation. This finding is consistent with the interpretation that both could have a causal role in reversal of the suicidal process. The duration of suicidal ideation was longer for patients with a higher level of psychopathology, such as an initially high level of suicidal ideation or depressive symptoms at the baseline, or some personality disorder.

In this study, 70 suicidal patients (68%) out of 103 were followed up on weekly basis. These patients had an overall higher level of psychopathology than the VDS cohort overall, or those suicidal cases who did not participate in the weekly follow up. The duration of decline in suicidal ideation was strongly associated with the initial level of symptoms; the higher the initial level, the longer the duration. Personality disorders overall also had a significant impact on the duration of suicidal ideation. Contrary to original expectations, this was more related to overall rather than specifically, to cluster B or borderline personality disorder.

The decline of suicidal ideation was strongly associated with the preceding decline of depressive symptoms, level of hopelessness and anxiety. Even some decrease in the level of depression seemed to be enough to initiate the decline in the intensity of suicidal ideation. Suicidal ideation resolved gradually after depressive symptoms and hopelessness have started to alleviate. The duration of ideation and the time the patients fulfilled the criteria for a major depressive episode seemed to be similar.

Both depressive symptoms and hopelessness have a strong and consistent association with suicidal ideation (Van Gastel et al. 1997, Pages et al. 1997, Malone et al. 2000), and they are plausible and theoretically coherent risk factors for suicidal behaviour. The findings of this study are consistent with earlier findings (Szanto et al. 2003, Bruce et al. 2004) on the impact of treatment interventions to suicidal ideation among elderly depressives.
The findings of this study are also consistent with the interpretation that declines in both depression and hopelessness could have a causal role in reversing the suicidal process.

6.3.4 Adequacy, attitudes and adherence to treatments (Study IV)

In the fourth study patients with suicidal behaviour had at the baseline a higher level of overall psychopathology, a difference that persisted during the following 6 months. In contrast to original expectations, they received antidepressants more often, had more frequent appointments with attending psychiatrists and received greater psychotherapeutic support from other mental health professionals than non-suicidal patients. Suicidal patients also had more favourable attitudes towards antidepressant treatment, and comparable adherence to treatment than those not suicidal. However, if the severity of depression (HAM-D score) was adjusted in the analyses, the significance was lost. Thus, neither problems in adequacy of treatment nor attitudes or adherence to treatment were factors markedly differentiating suicidal patients. Instead these problems appear to be generic to all psychiatric care.

According to the results of this study, treatment appeared to be allocated rationally. Probably due to higher severity of depression, patients with suicidal ideation or suicide attempts more often received antidepressants, adequate antidepressant treatment and frequent appointments with psychiatrists plus psychotherapeutic support from other professionals than non-suicidal patients. Thus, suicidal behaviour among psychiatric patients with MDD is not a factor that markedly influence the treatments provided.

Continuity presented a challenge in treatment here, as in psychiatric care in general. Although most MDD patients received antidepressant treatment in the early acute phase, about half terminated treatment prematurely (Melartin et al., 2005). Good adherence to treatments by patients reduced the probability of relapse or recurrence of MDD (Melfi et al., 1998). Thus, treating MDD is a central component of suicide prevention. According to the original hypothesis, patients with suicidal behaviour would have stronger negative attitudes and adherence to treatments than patients not exhibiting suicidal behaviour. The issues of compliance, high attrition rate and poor adherence are well known problems from earlier studies (Isacsson et al., 1994; Suominen et al., 1996). Unexpectedly though, in this cohort suicidal patients had more favourable attitudes towards antidepressant treatment than non-suicidal patients, and comparable adherence to treatment. Therefore it seems that the patients who remain in treatment have a positive attitude, and good adherence to treatments received.
7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

7.1 Conclusions and clinical implications

Suicidal ideation among psychiatric patients with MDD is markedly prevalent and it appears to be a precondition for suicide attempts. While ideation is also highly prevalent among depressed patients attempting suicide, the risk factors for suicidal ideation and attempts cover several clinical and psychosocial areas and largely seem to overlap. Substance use disorders and severity of depression may be of particular importance in predicting suicide attempts.

Suicide attempts among patients with MDD are strongly associated with the presence and severity of depressive symptoms. The risk of an attempt during a major depressive episode is clearly higher compared with a period of full remission. Lacking a partner, having a history of suicidal behaviour and time spent being depressed seem to be the strongest risk factors for future suicide attempt. Reducing time spent depressed is a highly credible preventive measure for future suicide attempts.

The decline of suicidal ideation appears to be associated with preceding declines in depressive symptoms and hopelessness and thus, they may have a causal relationship. However, for patients with higher level of psychopathology, it takes longer for suicidal ideation to alleviate.

Suicidal patients with MDD are known to have multidimensional problems, but this study does not support the conception that patients with suicidal behaviour had more negative attitudes or non-adherence to treatments than non-suicidal patients. These problems seem to be common to all psychiatric care patients with MDD.

It is likely that better recognition of suicidal behaviour (both ideation and attempts) and its risk factors among depressive patients will improve the outcome.

Psychiatric in- and outpatients with MDD have a high level of comorbidity with anxiety, substance use and personality disorder, all of which independently implicate elevated risk for suicide attempts. Nevertheless, risk for suicide attempt is almost eightfold during a major depressive episode compared with an episode of full remission. As suicide attempts are temporally associated with the presence of depressive symptoms, reducing the duration of the depressed state would be an effective measure for the prevention of suicidal acts.

The duration of suicidal ideation approximately corresponds the time the patients fulfil the criteria for a major depressive episode. Thus, even some decrease in the level of
depression seems to be enough to initiate the decline in the intensity of suicidal ideation. Effective treatment of MDD will have a positive impact on the decline of hopelessness and thus probably in reversing suicidal ideation.

Suicidal patients with MDD are at higher risk of completed suicide and thus, they should receive more intensive treatment. For the purpose of preventing suicide it is important that neither suicidal ideation nor suicide attempt seem to be associated with more negative attitudes or non-adherence to treatments.

7.2 Implications for future research

Although research on suicidal behaviour has long traditions, it focuses mainly on suicide attempts and completed suicides. Prospective studies focusing on suicidal ideation in MDD, and the use of a psychometric scale for measuring suicidal ideation, are still quite rare. More prospective long-term studies are needed. Such studies would help us understand better the course and nature of suicidal behaviour and possible comorbid factors in MDD.

We need studies focusing on the short-term course of suicidal ideation in special subgroups, such as cluster B personality disorders.

Earlier long-term studies have focused, among others, on the association between suicidal ideation and hopelessness with suicide attempts or completed suicides. While major depressive disorder is a heterogenous and comorbid disorder, those patients known to be suicidal, are at higher risk of completed suicide and should thus receive more intensive treatment. More research is needed to investigate the effectiveness of treatments for depression in reversing the suicidal process among adult patients with MDD.

Finally, problems concerning continuity, attitudes and adherence to treatments among patients with suicidal behaviour and MDD need more elucidation.
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